Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

⊠ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

OR

 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_ Commission File Number: 1-8944



CLIFFS NATURAL RESOURCES INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Ohio

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

200 Public Square, Cleveland, Ohio (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

34-1464672 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

> 44114-2315 (Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (216) 694-5700

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES ⊠ NO □ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES ⊠ NO □

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer 🖾 Accelerated filer 🗆 Non-accelerated filer 🗆 Smaller reporting company 🗆

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES □ NO ⊠

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common shares, par value \$0.125 per share, was 153,182,488 as of July 21, 2014.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Page Number

DEFINITION	S	1
PART I - FIN	ANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	1 2 2 3 4 6 7 39 69 69 69 59
	Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013	2
	Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013	<u>3</u>
	Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	<u>4</u>
	Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013	<u>6</u>
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>7</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>39</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>69</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>69</u>
PART II - OT	HER INFORMATION	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>71</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>71</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>72</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits	<u>72</u>
Signatures		<u>73</u>

# DEFINITIONS

The following abbreviations or acronyms are used in the text. References in this report to the "Company," "we," "us," "our" and "Cliffs" are to Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. and subsidiaries, collectively. References to "A\$" or "AUD" refer to Australian currency, "C\$" or "CAD" to Canadian currency and "\$" to United States currency.

Abbreviation or acronym	Term
Amapá	Anglo Ferrous Amapá Mineração Ltda. and Anglo Ferrous Logística Amapá Ltda.
rcelorMittal	ArcelorMittal (as the parent company of ArcelorMittal Mines Canada, ArcelorMittal USA and ArcelorMittal Dofasco, as well as, many other subsidiaries)
SC	Accounting Standards Codification
arrick	Barrick Gold Corporation Inc.
loom Lake	The Bloom Lake Iron Ore Mine Limited Partnership
hromite Project	Cliffs Chromite Ontario Inc.
CLCC	Cliffs Logan County Coal LLC
QIM	Cliffs Quebec Iron Mining Limited
D&A	Depreciation, depletion and amortization
odd-Frank Act	Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act
BITDA	Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization
mpire	Empire Iron Mining Partnership
PA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
xchange Act	Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
ASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
e	Iron
MSH Act	U.S. Federal Mine Safety and Health Act 1977, as amended
AAP	Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States
DP	Gross Domestic Product
ibbing	Hibbing Taconite Company
CE Plan	Amondod and Postated Cliffe 2007 Incentive Equity Plan, as amondod
	Amended and Restated Cliffs 2007 Incentive Equity Plan, as amended
oolyanobbing BOR	Collective term for the operating deposits at Koolyanobbing, Mount Jackson and Windarling London Interbank Offered Rate
TVSMC	LTV Steel Mining Company
ACT	Maximum Achievable Control Technology
IMBtu	Million British Thermal Units
oody's	Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a subsidiary of Moody's Corporation, and its successors
SHA ,	U.S. Mine Safety and Health Administration
/m	Not meaningful
orthshore	Northshore Mining Company
ak Grove	Oak Grove Resources, LLC
CI	Other comprehensive income (loss)
PEB	Other postretirement benefits
innacle	Pinnacle Mining Company, LLC
&P	Standard & Poor's Rating Services, a division of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, and its successors
EC	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
everstal	Severstal Dearborn, LLC
ubstitute Rating Agency	A "nationally recognized statistical rating organization" within the meaning of Section 3 (a)(62) of the Exchange Act, selected by us (as certified by a certificate of officers confirming the decision of our board of directors) as a replacement agency of Moody's or S&P, or both them, as the case may be
lden	Tilden Mining Company
SR	Total Shareholder Return
nited Taconite	United Taconite LLC
S.	United States of America
NQDC Plan	2005 Voluntary NonQualified Deferred Compensation Plan
WAP	Volume Weighted Average Price
/abush	Wabush Mines Joint Venture
/ARN Act	Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act
/ISCO	Wugang Canada Resources Investment Limited, a subsidiary of Wuhan Iron and Steel (Group) Corporation
/orldlink	Worldlink Resources Limited
012 Equity Plan	Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. 2012 Incentive Equity Plan

# Item 1. Financial Statements

# Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations

Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. and Subsidiaries

	(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)									
	٦	Three Mor Jun					ths Ended ne 30,			
		2014		2013		2014		2013		
REVENUES FROM PRODUCT SALES AND SERVICES										
Product	\$	1,018.6	\$	1,391.6	\$	1,879.5	\$	2,474.2		
Freight and venture partners' cost reimbursements		82.2		96.9		161.3		154.8		
		1,100.8		1,488.5		2,040.8		2,629.0		
COST OF GOODS SOLD AND OPERATING EXPENSES		(1,008.8)		(1,220.3)		(1,885.6)		(2,122.9		
SALES MARGIN		92.0		268.2	_	155.2	_	506.1		
OTHER OPERATING INCOME (EXPENSE)										
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(52.5)		(48.9)		(103.6)		(97.3		
Exploration costs		(3.4)		(12.6)		(7.6)		(35.3		
Miscellaneous - net		(47.8)		55.3		(106.4)		56.8		
		(103.7)		(6.2)		(217.6)		(75.8		
OPERATING INCOME (EXPENSE)		(11.7)		262.0	_	(62.4)	_	430.3		
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)		. ,				. ,				
Interest expense, net		(44.8)		(40.7)		(87.5)		(89.8		
Other non-operating income		2.2		(2.8)		3.4		(1.7		
1 0		(42.6)	_	(43.5)		(84.1)		(91.5		
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND EQUITY LOSS FROM VENTURES		(54.3)		218.5		(146.5)		338.8		
INCOME TAX BENEFIT (EXPENSE)		69.1		(9.3)		90.9		(3.3		
EQUITY LOSS FROM VENTURES, net of tax		(0.3)		(67.9)		(0.6)		(73.4		
NET INCOME (LOSS)		14.5		141.3		(56.2)		262.1		
LOSS (INCOME) ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTEREST		(3.6)		4.7		(3.2)		(9.1		
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CLIFFS SHAREHOLDERS	\$	10.9	\$	146.0	\$	(59.4)	\$	253.0		
PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS		(12.8)		(12.9)		(25.6)		(22.8		
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CLIFFS COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$	(1.9)	\$	133.1	\$	(85.0)	\$	230.2		
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO CLIFFS SHAREHOLDERS - BASIC	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.87	\$	(0.56)	\$	1.53		
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO CLIFFS SHAREHOLDERS - DILUTED	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.82	\$	(0.56)	\$	1.49		
AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES (IN THOUSANDS)										
Basic		153,087		153,011		153,064		150,418		
Diluted		153,087		178,428		153,064		169,708		
CASH DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER DEPOSITARY SHARE	\$	0.44	\$	0.44	\$	0.88	\$	0.78		
CASH DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER COMMON SHARE	\$	0.15	\$	0.15	\$	0.30	\$	0.30		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements .

Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Comprehensive Income

Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. and Subsidiaries

				(In Mi	llior	ıs)	
	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Mont Jun	
	2	2014		2013		2014	2013
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CLIFFS SHAREHOLDERS	\$	10.9	\$	146.0	\$	(59.4)	\$ 253.0
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)							
Changes in pension and other post-retirement benefits, net of tax		3.2		7.7		6.6	14.2
Unrealized net gain (loss) on marketable securities, net of tax		(3.7)		0.6		0.2	3.2
Unrealized net gain (loss) on foreign currency translation		19.7		(151.0)		60.2	(147.7)
Unrealized net gain (loss) on derivative financial instruments, net of tax		16.3		(44.4)		26.8	(51.4)
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)		35.5		(187.1)		93.8	 (181.7)
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST		(0.6)		(1.1)		(1.1)	 (2.3)
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CLIFFS SHAREHOLDERS	\$	45.8	\$	(42.2)	\$	33.3	\$ 69.0

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements .

# Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position

Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. and Subsidiaries

	(In Millions)					
	ne 30, 014	Dec	ember 31, 2013			
ASSETS						
CURRENT ASSETS						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 359.9	\$	335.5			
Accounts receivable, net	198.3		270.0			
Inventories	648.8		391.4			
Supplies and other inventories	200.0		216.0			
Income tax receivable	35.4		74.1			
Other current assets	221.4		273.0			
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	1,663.8		1,560.0			
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET	11,004.8		11,153.4			
OTHER ASSETS	433.8		408.5			
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 13,102.4	\$	13,121.9			

(continued)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements .

# Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position

Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. and Subsidiaries - (Continued)

	(In Millions)				
	J	une 30, 2014	Dec	cember 31, 2013	
LIABILITIES					
CURRENT LIABILITIES					
Accounts payable	\$	266.0	\$	345.5	
Accrued expenses		338.0		392.7	
Short-term and current portion of long-term debt		161.1		20.9	
Other current liabilities		272.4		326.4	
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		1,037.5		1,085.5	
PENSION AND POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFIT LIABILITIES		276.6		294.0	
ENVIRONMENTAL AND MINE CLOSURE OBLIGATIONS		304.7		309.7	
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES		1,034.1		1,146.5	
LONG-TERM DEBT		3,293.0		3,022.6	
OTHER LIABILITIES		326.9		379.3	
TOTAL LIABILITIES		6,272.8		6,237.6	
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (SEE NOTE 18)					
EQUITY					
CLIFFS SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Preferred Stock - no par value					
Class A - 3,000,000 shares authorized					
7% Series A Mandatory Convertible, Class A, no par value and \$1,000 per share liquidation preference (See Note 14)					
Issued and Outstanding - 731,223 shares (2013 - 731,250 shares)		731.3		731.3	
Class B - 4,000,000 shares authorized					
Common Shares - par value \$0.125 per share					
Authorized - 400,000,000 shares (2013 - 400,000,000 shares);					
lssued - 159,546,224 shares (2013 - 159,546,224 shares);					
Outstanding - 153,182,592 shares (2013 - 153,126,291 shares)		19.8		19.8	
Capital in excess of par value of shares		2,318.0		2,329.5	
Retained earnings		3,276.0		3,407.3	
Cost of 6,363,632 common shares in treasury (2013 - 6,419,933 shares)		(297.3)		(305.5)	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(20.2)		(112.9)	
TOTAL CLIFFS SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		6,027.6		6,069.5	
NONCONTROLLING INTEREST		802.0		814.8	
TOTAL EQUITY		6,829.6		6,884.3	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$	13,102.4	\$	13,121.9	
	_	-			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements .

# Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Cash Flows

Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. and Subsidiaries

	(In Mill	ions)
	 Six Month June	
	 2014	2013
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income (loss)	\$ (56.2)	\$ 262.1
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	286.4	284.9
Deferred income taxes	(139.0)	(121.5)
Other	24.8	52.3
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Receivables and other assets	85.5	87.2
Product inventories	(251.7)	(105.8)
Payables and accrued expenses	(73.7)	(70.3)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	 (123.9)	388.9
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(164.3)	(501.2)
Other investing activities	16.0	0.9
Net cash used by investing activities	(148.3)	(500.3)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net proceeds from issuance of Series A, Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock, Class A	_	709.4
Net proceeds from issuance of common shares	_	285.3
Repayment of term loan	_	(847.1)
Borrowings under credit facilities	730.4	437.0
Repayment under credit facilities	(315.6)	(322.0)
Common stock dividends	(46.0)	(46.0)
Preferred stock dividends	(25.6)	(10.0)
Other financing activities	(52.5)	(13.3)
Net cash provided by financing activities	290.7	193.3
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH	5.9	(13.8)
INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	24.4	68.1
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	335.5	195.2
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 359.9	\$ 263.3

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements . See NOTE 19 - CASH FLOW INFORMATION.

#### **Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. and Subsidiaries**

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

# NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with SEC rules and regulations and, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly, the financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income and cash flows for the periods presented. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Management bases its estimates on various assumptions and historical experience, which are believed to be reasonable; however, due to the inherent nature of estimates, actual results may differ significantly due to changed conditions or assumptions. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2014 or any other future period. These unaudited condensed consolidated December 31, 2013.

# Basis of Consolidation

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include our accounts and the accounts of our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries, including the following operations:

Name	Location	Ownership Interest	Operation
Northshore	Minnesota	100.0%	Iron Ore
United Taconite	Minnesota	100.0%	Iron Ore
Wabush	Newfoundland and Labrador/ Quebec, Canada	100.0%	Iron Ore
Bloom Lake	Quebec, Canada	82.8%	Iron Ore
Tilden	Michigan	85.0%	Iron Ore
Empire	Michigan	79.0%	Iron Ore
Koolyanobbing	Western Australia	100.0%	Iron Ore
Pinnacle	West Virginia	100.0%	Coal
Oak Grove	Alabama	100.0%	Coal
CLCC	West Virginia	100.0%	Coal

Intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated upon consolidation.

Also included in our consolidated results are Cliffs Chromite Ontario Inc. and Cliffs Chromite Far North Inc. Cliffs Chromite Ontario Inc. holds a 100 percent interest in each of the Black Label and Black Thor chromite deposits and, together with Cliffs Chromite Far North Inc., a 70 percent interest in the Big Daddy chromite deposit, all located in northern Ontario, Canada.

#### Equity Method Investments

Investments in unconsolidated ventures that we have the ability to exercise significant influence over, but not control, are accounted for under the equity method. The following table presents the detail of our investments in unconsolidated ventures and where those investments are classified in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Parentheses indicate a net liability.

				(In Mi	llions	5)
Investment	Classification	Accounting Method	Interest Percentage	June 30, 2014		ecember 31, 2013
Hibbing	Other non-current assets <sup>1</sup>	Equity Method	23%	\$ 9.5	\$	(3.9)
Other	Other non-current assets	Equity Method	Various	34.1		34.7
				\$ 43.6	\$	30.8

<sup>1</sup> At December 31, 2013, the classification for Hibbing was Other liabilities.

#### Significant Accounting Policies

A detailed description of our significant accounting policies can be found in the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. The significant accounting policies requiring updates have been included within the disclosures below.

#### Foreign Currency

Our financial statements are prepared with the U.S. dollar as the reporting currency. The functional currency of the Company's Australian subsidiaries is the Australian dollar. The functional currency of all other international subsidiaries is the U.S. dollar. The financial statements of international subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars using the exchange rate at each balance sheet date for assets and liabilities and a weighted average exchange rate for each period for revenues, expenses, gains and losses. Where the local currency is the functional currency, translation adjustments are recorded as *Accumulated other comprehensive loss*. Income taxes generally are not provided for foreign currency translation adjustments. To the extent that monetary assets and liabilities, inclusive of intercompany notes, are recorded in a currency other than the functional currency, these amounts are remeasured each reporting period, with the resulting gain or loss being recorded in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations . Transaction gains and losses resulting from remeasurement of short-term intercompany loans are included in Miscellaneous - net in our Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations . For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, net losses of \$11.4 million and \$18.1 million, respectively, related to the impact of transaction gains and losses resulting from remeasurement. Of these transaction gains and losses, for the three months ended June 30, 2014, losses of \$4.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, resulted from remeasurement of short-term intercompany loans and losses resulting from remeasurement of short-term intercompany loans and losses of \$13.0 million and \$5.1 million, respectively, resulted from remeasurement of short-term intercompany loans and losses resulting from remeasurement. Of these transaction gains and losses resulting from remeasurement of short-term intercompany loans and losses resulting from remeasurement. For the three and six months

## **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2014, the FASB amended the accounting guidance for share-based payments through ASU 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. Under the updated guidance, performance targets that affect vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period are treated as performance conditions. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Topic 718 as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. If the performance target becomes probable of being achieved before the end of the requisite service period, the remaining unrecognized compensation cost should be recognized prospectively over the remaining requisite service period. The total amount of compensation cost should be adjusted to reflect those awards that

ultimately vest. The requisite service period ends when the employee can cease rendering service and still be eligible to vest in the award if the performance target is achieved. As indicated in the definition of vest, the stated vesting period (which includes the period in which the performance target could be achieved) may differ from the requisite service period. The update is effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015 and may be adopted either prospectively or retrospectively. Earlier adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the updated guidance will have on the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position, Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Aperations or Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Cash Flows and do not expect that this guidance will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. The new revenue guidance broadly replaces the revenue guidance provided throughout the Codification. The core principle of the revenue guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply the following steps: (1) identify the contract(s) with a customer, (2) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (3) determine the transaction price, (4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (5) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. The new revenue guidance also requires the capitalization of certain contract acquisition costs. Reporting entities must provide new disclosures providing qualitative and quantitative information on the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contract swith customers. New disclosures also include qualitative and quantitative information on significant judgments, changes in judgments, and contract acquisition assets. The update is effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and may be adopted either retrospectively or retrospectively with the cumulative effect. Earlier adoption is not permitted. We are still evaluating the impact of the updated guidance on the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position , Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position , Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position , Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position of the update guidance on the Statements of Condensed Consolidated Financial Position is not permitted.

## **NOTE 2 - SEGMENT REPORTING**

Our Company's primary operations are organized and managed according to product category and geographic location: U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore, Asia Pacific Iron Ore, North American Coal, Ferroalloys and our Global Exploration Group. The U.S. Iron Ore segment is comprised of our interests in five U.S. mines that provide iron ore to the integrated steel industry. The Eastern Canadian Iron Ore segment is comprised of two Eastern Canadian mines that primarily provide iron ore to the seaborne market for Asian steel producers. The Asia Pacific Iron Ore segment is located in Western Australia and provides iron ore to the seaborne market for Asian steel producers. The North American Coal segment is comprised of our four metallurgical coal mines and one thetmal coal mine that provide metallurgical coal primarily to the integrated steel industry and thermal coal primarily to the energy industry. There were no interesegment revenues in the first half of 2014 or 2013.

The Ferroalloys operating segment is comprised of our interests in chromite deposits held in Northern Ontario, Canada and the Global Exploration Group is focused on early involvement in exploration activities to identify new projects for future development or projects that add significant value to existing operations. The Ferroalloys and Global Exploration Group operating segments do not meet reportable segment disclosure requirements and, therefore, are not reported separately. In alignment with our capital allocation strategy, we anticipate decreased levels of exploration spending in our Global Exploration Group and Ferroalloys operation segments throughout 2014.

We evaluate segment performance based on sales margin, defined as revenues less cost of goods sold, and operating expenses identifiable to each segment. This measure of operating performance is an effective measurement as we focus on reducing production costs throughout the Company.

The following table presents a summary of our reportable segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, including a reconciliation of segment sales margin to *Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes and Equity Loss from Ventures* :

	(In Millions)									
	Th	Three Months Ended Six Months Ende June 30, June 30,								
	2014	4	201	3		2014			2013	
Revenues from product sales and services:										
U.S. Iron Ore	\$ 514.6	47%	\$ 701.7	47%	\$	875.9	43%	\$	1,111.8	42%
Eastern Canadian Iron Ore	174.0	16%	213.9	14%		332.3	16%		459.2	17%
Asia Pacific Iron Ore	233.1	21%	327.0	22%		487.3	24%		597.8	23%
North American Coal	179.1	16%	245.9	17%		345.3	17%		460.2	18%
Total revenues from product sales and services	\$1,100.8	100%	\$1,488.5	100 %	\$	2,040.8	100%	\$	2,629.0	100%
Sales margin:	¢ 447.0		¢ 040 0		¢	040.0		ሱ	272.0	
U.S. Iron Ore	\$ 147.2		\$ 216.3		\$	242.2		\$	373.6	
Eastern Canadian Iron Ore	(38.5)		(49.7)			(88.2)			(30.3)	
Asia Pacific Iron Ore	36.0		95.0			102.3			156.3	
North American Coal	(52.7)		6.6			(101.1)			8.4	
Other								_	(1.9)	
Sales margin	92.0		268.2			155.2			506.1	
Other operating expense	(103.7)		(6.2)			(217.6)			(75.8)	
Other expense	(42.6)		(43.5)			(84.1)			(91.5)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and equity loss from ventures	<u>\$ (54.3)</u>		\$ 218.5		\$	(146.5)		\$	338.8	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization:										
U.S. Iron Ore	\$ 26.6		\$ 28.4		\$	55.3		\$	55.0	
Eastern Canadian Iron Ore	42.4		42.4			83.6			83.5	
Asia Pacific Iron Ore	42.3		41.7			81.4			78.1	
North American Coal	32.0		28.4			61.9			60.9	
Other	2.0		3.4			4.2			7.4	
Total depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ 145.3		\$ 144.3		\$	286.4		\$	284.9	
Capital additions <sup>1</sup> :										
U.S. Iron Ore	\$ 14.0		\$ 12.2		\$	28.9		\$	23.9	
Eastern Canadian Iron Ore	\$ 14.0 23.1		φ 12.2 186.8		Ψ	74.1		ψ	353.8	
Asia Pacific Iron Ore	23.1		2.3			74.1 5.2			555.6 6.6	
North American Coal	11.0		2.3 15.7			20.2			26.8	
Other	1.9		15.7			20.2			20.0	
	\$ 52.0		\$ 218.1		\$	131.2		\$	413.8	
Total capital additions	φ 52.0		φ 210.1		φ	131.2		φ	413.0	

<sup>1</sup> Includes capital lease additions and non-cash accruals. Refer to NOTE 19 - CASH FLOW INFORMATION.

A summary of assets by segment is as follows:

		(In Millions)					
	J	une 30, 2014	December 31, 2013				
Assets:							
U.S. Iron Ore	\$	1,825.2	\$	1,671.6			
Eastern Canadian Iron Ore		7,740.8		7,915.5			
Asia Pacific Iron Ore		1,046.0		1,078.4			
North American Coal		1,750.6		1,841.8			
Other		513.4		455.6			
Total segment assets		12,876.0		12,962.9			
Corporate		226.4		159.0			
Total assets	\$	13,102.4	\$	13,121.9			

# **NOTE 3 - DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES**

The following table presents the fair value of our derivative instruments and the classification of each in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

						(In Mil	lions)					
		D	erivativ	e Assets				Der	rivative	Liabilities		
	June 30	), 20	14	December	<sup>.</sup> 31,	2013	June 30	), 20	14	Decembe	r 31,	2013
Derivative Instrument	Balance Sheet Location		Fair 'alue	Balance Sheet Location		Fair ⁄alue	Balance Sheet Location		Fair 'alue	Balance Sheet Location		Fair /alue
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:												
Interest Rate Swaps	Other current assets	\$	3.6		\$	_		\$	_	Other current liabilities	\$	2.1
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Other current assets		12.1	Other current assets		0.3	Other current liabilities		0.5	Other current liabilities		25.8
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815		\$	15.7		\$	0.3		\$	0.5		\$	27.9
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:												
Foreign Exchange Contracts		\$	_		\$	_		\$	_	Other current liabilities	\$	1.1
Customer Supply Agreement	Other current assets		33.0	Other current assets		55.8			_			_
Provisional Pricing Arrangements			_	Other current assets		3.1	Other current liabilities		20.2	Other current liabilities		10.3
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815		\$	33.0		\$	58.9		\$	20.2		\$	11.4
Total derivatives		\$	48.7		\$	59.2		\$	20.7		\$	39.3

## **Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments**

## **Cash Flow Hedges**

#### Australian and Canadian Dollar Foreign Exchange Contracts

We are subject to changes in foreign currency exchange rates as a result of our operations in Australia and Canada. With respect to Australia, foreign exchange risk arises from our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates because the functional currency of our Asia Pacific operations is the Australian dollar. Our Asia Pacific operations receive funds in U.S. currency for their iron ore sales. The functional currency of our Canadian operations is the U.S. dollar; however, the production costs for these operations primarily are incurred in the Canadian dollar.

We use foreign currency exchange contracts to hedge our foreign currency exposure for a portion of our U.S. dollar sales receipts in our Australian functional currency entities and our entities with Canadian dollar operating costs. For our Australian operations, U.S. dollars are converted to Australian dollars at the currency exchange rate in effect during the period the transaction occurred. For our Canadian operations, U.S. dollars are converted to Canadian dollars at the exchange rate in effect for the period the operating costs are incurred. The primary objective for the use of these instruments is to reduce exposure to changes in currency exchange rates and to protect against undue adverse movement in these exchange rates. These instruments qualify for hedge accounting treatment and are tested for effectiveness at inception and at least once each reporting period. If and when any of our hedge contracts are determined not to be highly effective as hedges, the underlying hedged transaction is no longer likely to occur, or the derivative is terminated, hedge accounting is discontinued.

As of June 30, 2014, we had outstanding Australian and Canadian foreign currency exchange contracts with notional amounts of \$300.0 million and \$259.1 million, respectively, in the form of forward contracts with varying maturity dates ranging from July 2014 to June 2015. This compares with outstanding Australian and Canadian foreign currency exchange contracts with a notional amount of \$323.0 million and \$285.9 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2013.

Changes in fair value of highly effective hedges are recorded as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive loss in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position. Any ineffectiveness is recognized immediately in income and, as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, there was no material ineffectiveness recorded for foreign exchange contracts that were classified as cash flow hedges. However, certain Canadian hedge contracts were deemed ineffective during the fourth quarter of 2013 and no longer qualified for hedge accounting treatment. The de-designated hedges are discussed within the *Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments* section of this footnote. Amounts recorded as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive loss are reclassified into earnings in the same period the forecasted transactions affect earnings. Of the amounts remaining in Accumulated other comprehensive loss, respectively, will be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months.

The following summarizes the effect of our derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments, net of tax in *Accumulated other comprehensive loss* in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

				(In Millions)			
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	 Amount of Recognize Deriv (Effective	d in ativ	OCI on es	Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Earnings (Effective Portion)	Amount of Reclas from Acc OCI into (Effective	ssif um Ear	ied ulated rnings
	Three Mor Jun				Three Mon June		
	 2014		2013		2014		2013
Australian Dollar Foreign Exchange Contracts (hedge designation)	\$ 3.7	\$	(31.3)	Product revenues	\$ (3.7)	\$	2.6
Canadian Dollar Foreign Exchange Contracts (hedge designation)	6.0		(10.9)	Cost of goods sold and operating expenses	(2.7)		(0.4)
Canadian Dollar Foreign Exchange Contracts (prior to de-designation)	_		_	Cost of goods sold and operating expenses	(0.2)		_
Total	\$ 9.7	\$	(42.2)		\$ (6.6)	\$	2.2
	 Six Mont Jun				 Six Montl June		
	2014		2013		 2014		2013
Australian Dollar Foreign Exchange Contracts (hedge designation)	\$ 9.2	\$	(28.1)	Product revenues	\$ (12.8)	\$	4.4
Canadian Dollar Foreign Exchange Contracts (hedge designation)	(1.8)		(19.1)	Cost of goods sold and operating expenses	(6.1)		(0.2)
Canadian Dollar Foreign Exchange Contracts (prior to de-designation)	_			Cost of goods sold and operating expenses	(0.5)		_
	\$ 7.4	\$	(47.2)		\$ (19.4)	\$	4.2

# Fair Value Hedges

#### Interest Rate Hedges

Our fixed-to-variable interest rate swap derivative instruments, with a notional amount of \$250.0 million, are designated and qualify as fair value hedges as of June 30, 2014. The objective of the hedges is to offset changes in the fair value of our debt instruments associated with fluctuations in the benchmark LIBOR interest rate as part of our risk management strategy.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as fair-value hedges, the gain or loss on the hedge instrument as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in net income. We include the gain or loss on the derivative instrument and the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item in *Other non-operating income*. The net gains recognized in *Other non-operating income* for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. There were no derivative instruments that were designated as fair-value hedges for the period ended June 30, 2013.

#### **Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments**

## Foreign Exchange Contracts

During the fourth quarter of 2013, we discontinued hedge accounting for Canadian foreign currency exchange contracts for all outstanding contracts associated with the Wabush operation and the Ferroalloys operating segment as projected future cash flows were no longer considered probable, but we continue to hold these instruments as economic hedges to manage currency risk. Subsequent to de-designation, no further foreign currency exchange contracts were entered into for the Wabush operation or the Ferroalloys operating segment. As of June 30, 2014, there were no outstanding de-designated foreign currency exchange contracts matured during the second quarter of 2014. This compares with outstanding de-designated foreign currency exchange contracts with a notional amount of \$74.8 million as of December 31, 2013.

As a result of discontinued hedge accounting, the instruments are prospectively adjusted to fair value each reporting period through *Cost of goods sold* and operating expenses on the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations . For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the change in fair value of our de-designated foreign currency exchange contracts resulted in net losses of \$2.4 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. The amounts that were previously recorded as a component of *Accumulated other comprehensive loss* prior to de-designation will be reclassified to earnings and a corresponding realized gain or loss will be recognized when the forecasted cash flow occurs. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, we reclassified losses of \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, from *Accumulated other comprehensive loss* related to contracts that matured during the period, and recorded the amounts as *Cost of goods sold and operating expenses* on the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations . As of June 30, 2014, no gains or losses remain in *Accumulated other comprehensive loss* related to the effective cash flow hedge contracts prior to de-designation as all de-designated hedges matured by the end of the second quarter of 2014.

## **Customer Supply Agreements**

Most of our U.S. Iron Ore long-term supply agreements are comprised of a base price with annual price adjustment factors. The base price is the primary component of the purchase price for each contract. The indexed price adjustment factors are integral to the iron ore supply contracts and vary based on the agreement, but typically include adjustments based upon changes in the Platts 62 percent Fe spot rate and/or international pellet prices and changes in specified Producer Price Indices, including those for all commodities, industrial commodities, energy and steel. The pricing adjustments generally operate in the same manner, with each factor typically comprising a portion of the price adjustment, although the weighting of each factor varies based upon the specific terms of each agreement. In most cases, these adjustment factors have not been finalized at the time our product is sold. In these cases, we historically have estimated the adjustment factors at each reporting period based upon the best third-party information available. The estimates are then adjusted to actual when the information has been finalized. The price adjustment factors have been evaluated to determine if they contain embedded derivatives. The price adjustment factors share the same economic characteristics and risks as the host contract and are integral to the host contract as inflation adjustments; accordingly, they have not been separately valued as derivative instruments.

A certain supply agreement with one U.S. Iron Ore customer provides for supplemental revenue or refunds to the customer based on the customer's average annual steel pricing at the time the product is consumed in the customer's blast furnace. The supplemental pricing is characterized as a freestanding derivative and is required to be accounted for separately once the product is shipped. The derivative instrument, which is finalized based on a future price, is adjusted to fair value as a revenue adjustment each reporting period until the pellets are consumed and the amounts are settled.

We recognized \$34.3 million and \$62.0 million as *Product revenues* in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, related to the supplemental payments. This compares with *Product revenues* of \$35.4 million and \$59.5 million for the comparable respective periods in 2013. *Derivative assets*, representing the fair value of the pricing factors, were \$33.0 million and \$55.8 million in the June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position, respectively.

## **Provisional Pricing Arrangements**

Certain of our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customer supply agreements specify provisional price calculations, where the pricing mechanisms generally are based on market pricing, with the final revenue rate to be based on market inputs at a specified period in time in the future, per the terms of the supply agreements. The difference between the provisionally agreed-upon price and the estimated final revenue rate is

characterized as a freestanding derivative and is required to be accounted for separately once the provisional revenue has been recognized. The derivative instrument is adjusted to fair value through *Product revenues* each reporting period based upon current market data and forward-looking estimates provided by management until the final revenue rate is determined. At June 30, 2014 we had no *Other current assets* recorded related to our estimate of the final revenue rate with any of our customers. At December 31, 2013, we recorded \$3.1 million as *Other current assets* in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position related to our estimate of the final revenue rate with our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we recorded \$20.2 million and \$10.3 million, respectively, as *Other current liabilities* in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position related to our estimate of the final revenue rate with our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers and our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers and our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers and our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers and our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers and our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers and our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers and our us. Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers and our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers and our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers and our us. Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers and our us. Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers based on the supply agreement terms and our estimate of the final re

The following summarizes the effect of our derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	(In Million	s)									
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative									
		Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Mont June				
		<b>2014</b> 2013				2014	2013				
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Cost of goods sold and operating expenses	\$	(2.4)	\$	_	\$	(3.3)	\$	_		
Customer Supply Agreement	Product revenues		34.3		35.4		62.0		59.5		
Provisional Pricing Arrangements	Product revenues		(14.1)		(28.2)		(20.2)		(31.1)		
		\$	17.8	\$	7.2	\$	38.5	\$	28.4		

Refer to NOTE 7 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS for additional information.

# **NOTE 4 - INVENTORIES**

The following table presents the detail of our *Inventories* in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	(In Millions)											
		e 30, 2014			December 31, 2013							
Segment	nished Goods	Work-in Process			TotalFinishedInventoryGoods			Work-in Process				
U.S. Iron Ore	\$ 361.5	\$	24.4	\$	385.9	\$	92.1	\$	13.0	\$	105.1	
Eastern Canadian Iron Ore	34.4		51.9		86.3		65.3		48.1		113.4	
Asia Pacific Iron Ore	39.6		75.9		115.5		39.7		50.6		90.3	
North American Coal	45.0		16.1		61.1		59.4		23.2		82.6	
Total	\$ 480.5	\$	168.3	\$	648.8	\$	256.5	\$	134.9	\$	391.4	

We recorded lower-of-cost-or-market inventory charges of \$15.0 million and \$37.1 million in *Cost of goods sold and operating expenses* in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, for our North American Coal operations. The charges at North American Coal were a result of market pricing declines during the periods. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded lower-of-cost-or-market inventory charges of \$0.7 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, for our North American Coal operations. These charges were a result of market declines and costs associated with operational and geological issues.

We recorded lower-of-cost-or-market inventory charges of \$2.6 million and \$16.0 million in *Cost of goods sold and operating expenses* in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, for our Eastern Canadian Iron Ore operations. The \$2.6 million charge in the second quarter of 2014 relates to an adjustment of the remaining Wabush mine inventory to estimated net realizable value. The charges in the first quarter of 2014 at Eastern Canadian Iron Ore were a result of declines in Platts pricing and higher inventory costs at both Bloom Lake and Wabush. Bloom Lake's higher inventory costs were driven by the timing of maintenance activities and mine development, whereas Wabush's higher costs were driven by unfavorable production performance up to the idling of the Scully mine operation.

We recorded a lower-of-cost-or-market inventory charge during the second quarter of 2013 of \$11.1 million relating to Wabush pellets that were contractually committed tons. We additionally recorded a lower-of-cost-or-market inventory charge during the second quarter of 2013 of \$4.7 million relating to the Wabush sinter feed caused by higher costs as a result of the transition of product being produced and the forest fire that temporarily idled the mine in June. An unsaleable inventory impairment charge was recorded in the second quarter of 2013 relating to Wabush pellets of \$10.6 million as a result of our idling of the Wabush pellet plant during the second quarter of 2013. All of these charges recorded during the second quarter were recorded in *Cost of goods sold and operating expenses* in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 for our Eastern Canadian Iron Ore operations.

## NOTE 5 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

The following table indicates the value of each of the major classes of our consolidated depreciable assets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	(In Mi	llions	5)
	 June 30, 2014	D	ecember 31, 2013
Land rights and mineral rights	\$ 7,854.2	\$	7,819.6
Office and information technology	125.8		125.7
Buildings	307.1		255.2
Mining equipment	2,199.3		1,819.3
Processing equipment	1,943.9		2,148.6
Electric power facilities	114.6		114.3
Port facilities	105.1		99.4
Interest capitalized during construction	23.1		23.8
Land improvements	54.5		69.3
Other	89.6		104.4
Construction in-progress	892.8		991.3
	 13,710.0		13,570.9
Accumulated depreciation and depletion	(2,705.2)		(2,417.5)
	\$ 11,004.8	\$	11,153.4

We recorded depreciation and depletion expense of \$142.5 million and \$280.9 million in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. This compares with depreciation and depletion expense of \$138.9 million and \$274.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

The accumulated amount of capitalized interest included within construction in-progress at June 30, 2014 is \$31.2 million, of which \$1.0 million was capitalized during 2014. At December 31, 2013, \$31.4 million of capitalized interest was included within construction in-progress, of which \$17.4 million was capitalized during 2013.



# NOTE 6 - GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

# Goodwill

The following table summarizes changes in the carrying amount of goodwill allocated by operating segment for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013:

						(In Mi	llions)							
			June	30, 2014			December 31, 2013							
	U.S. Iron Ore	Eastern Canadian Iron Ore	Asia Pacific Iron Ore	North American Coal	Other	Total	U.S. Iron Ore	Eastern Canadian Iron Ore	Asia Pacific Iron Ore	North American Coal	Other	Total		
Beginning Balance	\$ 2.0	s —	\$ 72.5	\$ _	\$ _	\$ 74.5	\$ 2.0	\$ —	\$ 84.5	\$ _	\$ 80.9	\$ 167.4		
Arising in business combinations	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Impairment	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	(80.9)	(80.9)		
Impact of foreign currency translation	_	_	4.1	_	_	4.1	_	_	(12.0)	_	_	(12.0)		
Ending Balance	\$ 2.0	\$ _	\$ 76.6	s —	\$ _	\$ 78.6	\$ 2.0	\$ —	\$ 72.5	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 74.5		
Accumulated goodwill impairment loss	\$ _	\$ (1,000.0)	s _	\$ (27.8)	\$ (80.9)	\$ (1,108.7)	\$ —	\$ (1,000.0)	\$ —	\$ (27.8)	\$ (80.9)	\$ (1,108.7)		

# Other Intangible Assets and Liabilities

Following is a summary of intangible assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

						(In Mil	lion	is)						
				Ju	ne 30, 2014		December 31, 2013							
	Classification	С	Gross arrying mount		cumulated nortization	Net Carrying Amount		Gross Carrying Amount		cumulated		Net arrying mount		
Definite-lived intangible assets:														
Permits	Intangible assets, net	\$	130.2	\$	(41.6)	\$ 88.6	\$	127.4	\$	(35.9)	\$	91.5		
Utility contracts	Intangible assets, net		54.7		(53.9)	0.8		54.7		(53.1)		1.6		
Leases	Intangible assets, net		2.4		(0.2)	2.2		2.4		(0.1)		2.3		
Total intangible assets		\$	187.3	\$	(95.7)	\$ 91.6	\$	184.5	\$	(89.1)	\$	95.4		
Below-market sales contracts	Other current liabilities	\$	(23.0)	\$	_	\$ (23.0)	\$	(23.0)	\$	_	\$	(23.0)		
Below-market sales contracts	Other liabilities		(205.9)		167.4	(38.5)		(205.9)		159.7		(46.2)		
Total below-market sales contracts		\$	(228.9)	\$	167.4	\$ (61.5)	\$	(228.9)	\$	159.7	\$	(69.2)		

Amortization expense relating to intangible assets was \$2.8 million and \$5.5 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and is recognized in *Cost of goods sold and operating expenses* in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations. Amortization expense relating to intangible assets was \$5.3 million and \$10.0 million for the comparable respective periods in 2013. The estimated amortization expense relating to intangible assets for the remainder of this year and each of the five succeeding years is as follows:

	(In M	/lillions)
	A	nount
Year Ending December 31,		
2014 (remaining six months)	\$	4.6
2015		7.9
2016		7.0
2017		6.4
2018		7.4
2019		7.4
Total	\$	40.7

The below-market sales contracts are classified as a liability and recognized over the term of the underlying contracts. The outstanding below-market sales contract has a remaining life of approximately three years. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, we recognized \$7.6 million and \$14.7 million, respectively, in *Product revenues* related to below-market sales contracts. The following amounts are estimated to be recognized in *Product revenues* for the remainder of this year and each of the three succeeding fiscal years:

	(In l	Willions)
	A	mount
Year Ending December 31,		
2014 (remaining six months)	\$	15.4
2015		23.0
2016		23.0
2017		0.1
Total	\$	61.5

# NOTE 7 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following represents the assets and liabilities of the Company measured at fair value at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

(In Millions)											
			June 30, 2	201	4						
A Mar Ide Assets	Active rkets for entical s/Liabilities	Si	ignificant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)		Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Total				
\$	84.0	\$		- 9	<b>6</b> —	\$	84.0				
	—		3.6	;	33.0		36.6				
	27.1		_	-	_		27.1				
	_		12.1		_		12.1				
\$	111.1	\$	15.7	' 9	\$ 33.0	\$	159.8				
\$	_	\$	_	- 9	\$ 20.2	\$	20.2				
	_		0.5	;	_		0.5				
\$	_	\$	0.5	; ;	\$ 20.2	\$	20.7				
Quote			December 3	, 1, 2	2013						
Ma Ic Asset	ed Prices in Active arkets for dentical (s/Liabilities Level 1)	Si	gnificant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	I	Significant Jnobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Total				
Ma Ic Asset	Active arkets for dentical ts/Liabilities	Si	Observable Inputs		Unobservable Inputs	_	Total				
Ma Ic Asset	Active arkets for dentical ts/Liabilities	Si	Observable Inputs	\$	Unobservable Inputs	\$	Total 85.0				
Ma Ic Asset (L	Active arkets for dentical s/Liabilities Level 1)		Observable Inputs		Unobservable Inputs	\$					
Ma Ic Asset (L	Active arkets for dentical s/Liabilities Level 1)		Observable Inputs		Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	\$	85.0				
Ma Ic Asset (L	Active arkets for dentical (s/Liabilities (evel 1) 85.0		Observable Inputs		Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	\$	85.0 58.9				
Ma Ic Asset (L	Active arkets for dentical (s/Liabilities (evel 1) 85.0		Observable Inputs (Level 2) — — —		Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	\$	85.0 58.9 21.4				
Ma lo Asset (L	Active arkets for dentical ts/Liabilities Level 1) 85.0 — 21.4 —	\$	Observable Inputs (Level 2) — — — — 0.3	\$	Jnobservable Inputs (Level 3) – 58.9 –		85.0 58.9 21.4 0.3				
Ma lo Asset (L	Active arkets for dentical ts/Liabilities Level 1) 85.0 — 21.4 —	\$	Observable Inputs (Level 2) — — — — 0.3	\$	Jnobservable Inputs (Level 3) – 58.9 –		85.0 58.9 21.4 0.3				
Ma lo Asset (L \$	Active arkets for dentical ts/Liabilities Level 1) 85.0 — 21.4 —	\$	Observable Inputs (Level 2) — — 0.3 0.3	\$	Jnobservable Inputs (Level 3) 	\$	85.0 58.9 21.4 0.3 165.6				
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	27.1 <u>\$ 111.1</u> <u>\$ -</u>	Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1) \$ 84.0 \$ 	June 30, June 30, Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1) \$ 84.0 \$ 7.1 	June 30, 201           June 30, 201           Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)         Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)           \$ 84.0         \$         \$           \$ 84.0         \$         \$           27.1          3.6           27.1          12.1           \$ 111.1         \$ 15.7         \$           \$         \$         \$           \$         \$         \$           \$ 111.1         \$ 15.7         \$           \$         \$         \$           \$         \$         \$           \$         \$         \$           \$         \$         \$           (In Millions)         \$         \$	June 30, 2014         Quoted Prices in Active         Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)       Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)       Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)         \$ 84.0       \$       \$         27.1           27.1           \$ 111.1       \$ 15.7       \$ 33.0         \$       \$       \$ 20.2         \$ 111.1       \$ 5.5       20.2         (In Millions)       December 31, 2013	June 30, 2014         June 30, 2014         Quoted Prices in Active       Significant Other Observable       Significant Unobservable         Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)       Significant Other Observable       Significant Unobservable         Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)       (Level 2)       (Level 3)         \$ 84.0       \$       \$       \$          3.6       33.0       33.0         27.1         \$       \$         27.1         \$       \$         \$ 111.1       \$ 15.7       \$ 33.0       \$         \$       \$       \$ 20.2       \$         \$       \$ 0.5        \$ 20.2       \$         \$       \$ 0.5       \$ 20.2       \$				

Financial assets classified in Level 1 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 include money market funds and available-for-sale marketable securities. The valuation of these instruments is based upon unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets.

The valuation of financial assets and liabilities classified in Level 2 is determined using a market approach based upon quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, or other inputs that are observable. Level 2 securities primarily include derivative financial instruments valued using financial models that use as their basis readily observable market parameters. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, such derivative financial instruments included

our existing foreign currency exchange contracts and interest rate swaps. The fair value of the foreign currency exchange contracts is based on forward market prices and represents the estimated amount we would receive or pay to terminate these agreements at the reporting date, taking into account creditworthiness, nonperformance risk and liquidity risks associated with current market conditions.

The derivative financial assets classified within Level 3 at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 included a freestanding derivative instrument related to certain supply agreements with one of our U.S. Iron Ore customers. The agreements include provisions for supplemental revenue or refunds based on the customer's annual steel pricing at the time the product is consumed in the customer's blast furnaces. We account for this provision as a derivative instrument at the time of sale and adjust this provision to fair value as an adjustment to *Product revenues* each reporting period until the product is consumed and the amounts are settled. The fair value of the instrument is determined using a market approach based on an estimate of the annual realized price of hot-rolled steel at the steelmaker's facilities, and takes into consideration current market conditions and nonperformance risk.

The Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities also consisted of derivatives related to certain provisional pricing arrangements with our U.S. Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers at June 30, 2014 and to certain provisional pricing arrangements with our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers at December 31, 2013. These provisional pricing arrangements specify provisional price calculations, where the pricing mechanisms generally are based on market pricing, with the final revenue rate to be based on market inputs at a specified point in time in the future, per the terms of the supply agreements. The difference between the provisionally agreed-upon price and the estimated final revenue rate is characterized as a derivative and is required to be accounted for separately once the revenue has been recognized. The derivative instrument is adjusted to fair value through *Product revenues* each reporting period based upon current market data and forward-looking estimates provided by management until the final revenue rate is determined.

The following table illustrates information about quantitative inputs and assumptions for the derivative assets and derivative liabilities categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy:

#### Qualitative/Quantitative Information About Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

	Fair	millions) Value at 30, 2014	Balance Sheet Location	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range or Point Estimate (Weighted Average)
Provisional Pricing Arrangements	\$	20.2	Derivative liabilities	Market Approach	Management's Estimate of 62% Fe	\$93
Customer Supply Agreement	\$	33.0	Derivative assets	Market Approach	Hot-Rolled Steel Estimate	\$635 - \$665 (\$650)

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the reporting entity's provisional pricing arrangements is management's estimate of 62 percent Fe price based upon current market data, including historical seasonality and forward-looking estimates determined by management. Significant increases or decreases in this input would result in a significantly higher or lower fair value measurement, respectively.

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the reporting entity's customer supply agreement is the future hot-rolled steel price that is estimated based on current market data, analysts' projections, projections provided by the customer and forward-looking estimates determined by management. Significant increases or decreases in this input would result in a significantly higher or lower fair value measurement, respectively.

We recognize any transfers between levels as of the beginning of the reporting period. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 or 2013. The following tables represent a reconciliation of the changes in fair value of financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 or 2013. 2014 and 2013.

				(In Mil	lior	ns)						
	Derivative Assets (Level 3)											
		Three Mor Jun				Six Mont Jun						
		2014		2013		2014		2013				
Beginning balance	\$	43.3	\$	53.3	\$	58.9	\$	62.4				
Total gains												
Included in earnings		33.0		32.4		62.0		60.4				
Settlements		(43.3)		(40.6)		(87.9)		(77.7)				
Transfers into Level 3		_		_		_		_				
Transfers out of Level 3		_		_		_		_				
Ending balance - June 30	\$	33.0	\$	45.1	\$	33.0	\$	45.1				
Total gains for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains on assets still held at the reporting date	\$	33.0	\$	32.4	\$	62.0	\$	60.4				

				(In Mil	lior	ıs)						
	Derivative Liabilities (Level 3)											
		Three Mon June			_	Six Montl June						
		2014		2013		2014		2013				
Beginning balance	\$	(7.4)	\$	(6.8)	\$	(10.3)	\$	(11.3)				
Total gains												
Included in earnings		(12.8)		(25.2)		(20.2)		(32.0)				
Settlements		—		—		10.3		11.3				
Transfers into Level 3		—		_		_		_				
Transfers out of Level 3		—		—		—		—				
Ending balance - June 30	\$	(20.2)	\$	(32.0)	\$	(20.2)	\$	(32.0)				
Total losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized losses on liabilities still held at the reporting date	\$	(12.8)	\$	(25.2)	\$	(20.2)	\$	(32.0)				
······	<u> </u>	1 1	É	· · · /	É	1 1	<u> </u>	/				

Gains and losses included in earnings are reported in Product revenues in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

The carrying amount for certain financial instruments (e.g., Accounts receivable, net, Accounts payable and Accrued expenses) approximate fair value and, therefore, have been excluded from the table below. A summary of the carrying amount and fair value of other financial instruments at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were as follows:

		(In Millions)							
			June 3	0, 2	014		December 31, 2013		
	Classification	Carrying n Value		Fa	air Value	Carrying Value		F	air Value
Long-term debt:									
Senior notes—\$700 million	Level 2	\$	699.5	\$	756.6	\$	699.4	\$	718.2
Senior notes—\$1.3 billion	Level 2		1,289.8		1,537.4		1,289.6		1,404.9
Senior notes—\$400 million	Level 2		398.5		450.2		398.4		432.1
Senior notes—\$500 million	Level 2		496.9		532.4		496.5		523.8
Revolving loan	Level 2		275.0		275.0		_		_
Equipment loan facilities	Level 2		130.0		130.0		140.8		140.8
Fair value adjustment to interest rate hedge	Level 2		3.3		3.3		(2.1)		(2.1)
Total long-term debt		\$	3,293.0	\$	3,684.9	\$	3,022.6	\$	3,217.7

The fair value of debt is based on the fair market yield curves for the remainder of the term expected to be outstanding. The fair value of long-term debt was determined using quoted market prices or discounted cash flows based upon current borrowing rates. The revolving loan is variable rate interest and approximates fair value. See NOTE 8 - DEBT AND CREDIT FACILITIES for further information.

#### Items Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

The following table presents information about the impairment charges on both financial and nonfinancial assets that were measured on a fair value basis at December 31, 2013. The table also indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques used to determine such fair value. We had no financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at June 30, 2014.

		(In Millions)								
				Decem	ber 3	1, 2013				
Description	A Mar Identic Lia	d Prices in ctive kets for al Assets/ bilities evel 1)	C Obs Ir	nificant Other servable oputs evel 2)	Un	ignificant observable Inputs Level 3)		Total		Total .osses
Assets:										
Goodwill impairment - Ferroalloys reporting unit	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	80.9
Other long-lived assets - Property, plant and equipment		_		_		46.3		46.3		155.4
Other long-lived assets - Intangibles and long-term deposits		_		_		1.6		1.6		14.5
Investment in ventures impairment - Amapá		_		_		_		_		67.6
	\$	_	\$	_	\$	47.9	\$	47.9	\$	318.4

#### Financial Assets

In light of the March 28, 2013 collapse of the Santana port shiploader and subsequent evaluation of the effect that this event had on the carrying value of our investment in Amapá as of June 30, 2013, we recorded an impairment charge of \$67.6 million in the second quarter of 2013. The sale of Amapá was completed in the fourth quarter of 2013.

# Non-Financial Assets

During the fourth quarter of 2013, a goodwill impairment charge of \$80.9 million was recorded for our Cliffs Chromite Ontario and Cliffs Chromite Far North reporting units within our Ferroalloys operating segment. The impairment charge was primarily a result of the decision to indefinitely suspend the Chromite Project and to not allocate additional capital for the project given the uncertain timeline and risks associated with the development of necessary infrastructure to bring the project online. Based on our review of the fair value hierarchy, the inputs used in these fair value measurements were considered Level 3 inputs.

We also recorded impairment charges to property, plant and equipment during 2013 related to our Wabush operation within our Eastern Canadian Iron Ore operating segment, our Cliffs Chromite Ontario and Cliffs Chromite Far North reporting units within our *Other* reportable segments and certain mineral lands at our Asia Pacific Iron Ore operating segment to reduce the related assets to their estimated fair value as we determined that the cash flows associated with these operations were not sufficient to support the recoverability of the carrying value of these assets. Fair value was determined based on management's estimate of liquidation value, which is considered a Level 3 input, and resulted in a charge of \$155.4 million.



# **NOTE 8 - DEBT AND CREDIT FACILITIES**

The following represents a summary of our long-term debt as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

(\$ in Millions)									
June 30, 2014									
Debt Instrument	Туре	Annual Effective Interest Rate	Final Maturity		otal Face Amount	Тс	otal Debt	-	
\$700 Million 4.875% 2021 Senior Notes	Fixed	4.89%	2021	\$	700.0	\$	699.5	(1)	
\$1.3 Billion Senior Notes:									
\$500 Million 4.80% 2020 Senior Notes	Fixed	4.83%	2020		500.0		499.3	(2)	
\$800 Million 6.25% 2040 Senior Notes	Fixed	6.34%	2040		800.0		790.5	(3)	
\$400 Million 5.90% 2020 Senior Notes	Fixed	5.98%	2020		400.0		398.5	(4)	
\$500 Million 3.95% 2018 Senior Notes	Fixed	4.14%	2018		500.0		496.9	(5)	
\$1.75 Billion Credit Facility:									
Revolving Loan	Variable	1.66%	2017		1,750.0		275.0	(6)	
Equipment Loans	Fixed	Various	2020		164.8		151.4		
Short-Term Borrowing Arrangements			2014/2015		139.7		139.7		
Fair Value Adjustment to Interest Rate Hedge							3.3		
Total debt				\$	4,954.5	\$	3,454.1	-	
Less: Short-term and current portion of long-term debt							161.1		
Long-term debt						\$	3,293.0		

(\$ in Millions)									
December 31, 2013									
Debt Instrument	Туре	Annual Effective Interest Rate	Final Maturity	Total Face Amount	Тс	otal Debt			
\$700 Million 4.875% 2021 Senior Notes	Fixed	4.88%	2021	700.0		699.4	(1)		
\$1.3 Billion Senior Notes:									
\$500 Million 4.80% 2020 Senior Notes	Fixed	4.83%	2020	500.0		499.2	(2)		
\$800 Million 6.25% 2040 Senior Notes	Fixed	6.34%	2040	800.0		790.4	(3)		
\$400 Million 5.90% 2020 Senior Notes	Fixed	5.98%	2020	400.0		398.4	(4)		
\$500 Million 3.95% 2018 Senior Notes	Fixed	4.14%	2018	500.0		496.5	(5)		
\$1.75 Billion Credit Facility:									
Revolving Loan	Variable	1.64%	2017	1,750.0		—	(6)		
Equipment Loans	Fixed	Various	2020	164.8		161.7			
Fair Value Adjustment to Interest Rate Hedge						(2.1)			
Total debt				\$ 4,814.8	\$	3,043.5			
Less: Short-term and current portion of long- term debt						20.9			
Long-term debt					\$	3,022.6			

(1)

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the \$700 million 4.875 percent senior notes were recorded at a par value of \$700 million less unamortized discounts of \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, based on an imputed interest rate of 4.89 percent.



- (2) As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the \$500 million 4.80 percent senior notes were recorded at a par value of \$500 million less unamortized discounts of \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, based on an imputed interest rate of 4.83 percent.
- (3) As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the \$800 million 6.25 percent senior notes were recorded at a par value of \$800 million less unamortized discounts of \$9.5 million and \$9.6 million, respectively, based on an imputed interest rate of 6.34 percent.
- (4) As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the \$400 million 5.90 percent senior notes were recorded at a par value of \$400 million less unamortized discounts of \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, based on an imputed interest rate of 5.98 percent.
- (5) As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the \$500 million 3.95 percent senior notes were recorded at a par value of \$500 million less unamortized discounts of \$3.1 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, based on an imputed interest rate of 4.14 percent.
- (6) As of June 30, 2014, \$275.0 million of revolving loans were drawn under the credit facility. As of December 31, 2013, no revolving loans were drawn under the credit facility. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the principal amount of letter of credit obligations totaled \$5.2 million and \$8.4 million, respectively, thereby reducing available borrowing capacity to \$1.5 billion and \$1.7 billion for each period, respectively.

#### **Credit Facility**

On June 30, 2014, we amended the Amended and Restated Multicurrency Credit Agreement among Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. and various lenders dated August 11, 2011 (as further amended by Amendment No. 1 as of October 16, 2012 and Amendment No. 2 as of February 8, 2013), or revolving credit agreement, to effect the following:

- Replacing the current maximum leverage covenant ratio of debt to earnings of less than 3.5 times with a maximum balance sheet leverage ratio of debt to capitalization of less than 45 percent.
- Resetting the minimum interest coverage ratio from 2.5 to 1.0 to the ratio of 3.5 to 1.0.
- Amending the definition of EBITDA to include certain cash charges related to the Company's Wabush mine and other cash restructuring charges and the definition of net worth to exclude up to \$1 billion in non-cash impairment charges.
- Modifying the covenants restricting certain investments and acquisitions, the incurrence of certain indebtedness and liens, and the amount of dividends
  that may be declared or paid and shares that may be repurchased.

The new amended revolving credit agreement terms are effective June 30, 2014, and remain in effect for the life of the revolving credit agreement. This amended revolving credit agreement allows our borrowing capacity to be less susceptible to the impact of volatile iron ore and metallurgical coal pricing.

As of June 30, 2014, we were in compliance with these financial covenants. Additionally, as of December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with all applicable financial covenants related to the revolving credit agreement.

## **Short-Term Borrowing Arrangements**

As of June 30, 2014, we had outstanding borrowings of \$45.0 million on an uncommitted credit facility agreement which was used for general corporate purposes. Per the uncommitted credit agreement, each loan drawn cannot be outstanding less than 30 days or more than 90 days. Interest payable under the uncommitted credit facility is at a variable rate based on LIBOR plus an agreed upon margin of approximately one percent.

On April 22, 2014, we established an accounts receivable securitization facility for certain domestic subsidiaries that provides up to \$110 million of funding and expires on April 21, 2015. Availability under this facility is based on eligible receivable balances. At June 30, 2014, the amounts available and utilized under this program totaled \$57.3 million. Interest payable under the credit facility is at a variable rate based on LIBOR type rate plus an agreed upon margin of less than one percent.

As of June 30, 2014, we had outstanding borrowings of \$37.4 million on pre-export trade finance loans. Per the agreements, the loans drawn have fixed maturity dates that are short-term in nature. Interest payable under the pre-export trade finance loans are at a fixed rate of less than one percent.



#### Letters of Credit

We issued standby letters of credit with certain financial institutions in order to support general business obligations including, but not limited to, workers compensation and environmental obligations. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, these letter of credit obligations totaled \$48.0 million, respectively. All of these standby letters of credit are in addition to the letters of credit provided for under the revolving credit agreement.

## **Other Short-Term Facilities**

Asia Pacific Iron Ore maintains a bank contingent instrument and cash advance facility. The facility, which is renewable annually at the bank's discretion, provides A\$30.0 million (\$28.3 million) at June 30, 2014 in credit for contingent instruments, such as performance bonds. At December 31, 2013, the facility provided A\$30.0 million (\$26.8 million) in credit for contingent instruments. As of June 30, 2014, the outstanding bank guarantees under the facility totaled A\$23.0 million (\$21.7 million), thereby reducing borrowing capacity to A\$7.0 million (\$6.6 million). As of December 31, 2013, the outstanding bank guarantees under the facility totaled A\$23.0 million (\$20.5 million), thereby reducing borrowing capacity to A\$7.0 million (\$6.3 million). We have provided a guarantee of the facility, along with certain of our Australian subsidiaries. The terms of the short-term facility contain certain customary covenants; however, there are no financial covenants.

## **Debt Maturities**

The following represents a summary of our maturities of debt instruments, excluding borrowings on the revolving credit agreement, based on the principal amounts outstanding at June 30, 2014:

	(In Millions)			
	Maturities of Del			
2014 (July 1 - December 31)	\$	150.4		
2015		21.8		
2016		22.7		
2017		23.6		
2018		524.6		
2019 and thereafter		2,448.0		
Total maturities of debt	\$	3,191.1		

# **NOTE 9 - LEASE OBLIGATIONS**

We lease certain mining, production and other equipment under operating and capital leases. The leases are for varying lengths, generally at market interest rates and contain purchase and/or renewal options at the end of the terms. Our operating lease expense was \$4.0 million and \$11.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, compared with \$7.4 million and \$14.2 million for the same respective period in 2013.

Future minimum payments under capital leases and non-cancellable operating leases at June 30, 2014 are as follows:

	(In Millions)					
	Capit	Operating Leases				
2014 (July 1 - December 31)	\$	33.5	\$	9.5		
2015		89.0		14.2		
2016		38.0		9.2		
2017		30.5		8.3		
2018		22.4		7.1		
2019 and thereafter		37.8		14.7		
Total minimum lease payments	\$	251.2	\$	63.0		
Amounts representing interest		43.4				
Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$	207.8 (1	)			

(1) Th

The total is comprised of \$82.0 million and \$125.7 million classified as Other current liabilities and Other liabilities, respectively, in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position at June 30, 2014.

# NOTE 10 - ENVIRONMENTAL AND MINE CLOSURE OBLIGATIONS

We had environmental and mine closure liabilities of \$310.3 million and \$321.0 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The following is a summary of the obligations as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	(In Millions)			
	June 30, Decembre 2014 2013			
Environmental	\$ 11.0	\$ 8.4		
Mine closure				
LTVSMC	22.4	22.0		
Operating mines:				
U.S. Iron Ore	137.5	152.2		
Eastern Canadian Iron Ore	76.3	78.2		
Asia Pacific Iron Ore	27.4	25.5		
North American Coal	35.7	34.7		
Total mine closure	299.3	312.6		
Total environmental and mine closure obligations	310.3	321.0		
Less current portion	5.6	11.3		
Long term environmental and mine closure obligations	\$ 304.7	\$ 309.7		

#### Mine Closure

The accrued closure obligation for our active mining operations provides for contractual and legal obligations associated with the eventual closure of the mining operations. The accretion of the liability and amortization of the related asset is recognized over the estimated mine lives for each location.

The following represents a rollforward of our asset retirement obligation liability related to our active mining locations for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and for the year ended December 31, 2013:

	(In Millions)					
	J	une 30, 2014	December 31, 2013 (1)			
Asset retirement obligation at beginning of period	\$	290.6	\$	231.1		
Accretion expense		7.2		18.1		
Exchange rate changes		1.2		(3.4)		
Revision in estimated cash flows		(22.1)		44.8		
Asset retirement obligation at end of period	\$	276.9	\$	290.6		

# <sup>(1)</sup> Represents a 12-month rollforward of our asset retirement obligation at December 31, 2013.

The revisions in estimated cash flows recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2014 primarily were a result of the announced Empire Mine extension during the first quarter of 2014. As a result of the extension, a portion of the required storm water management systems are now expected to be implemented prior to closure of the mine.

# **NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS**

The following are the components of defined benefit pension and OPEB expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

Defined Benefit Pension Expense

	(In Millions)								
	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,			
		2014		2013		2014		2013	
Service cost	\$	7.9	\$	9.7	\$	15.9	\$	19.6	
Interest cost		12.5		11.7		25.0		23.2	
Expected return on plan assets		(18.0)		(20.0)		(36.0)		(33.1)	
Amortization:									
Prior service costs		6.5		0.8		7.2		1.5	
Net actuarial (gain) loss		(2.3)		8.2		1.3		15.0	
Curtailments/settlements	\$	0.9	\$	—		1.2		—	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	7.5	\$	10.4	\$	14.6	\$	26.2	

#### Other Postretirement Benefits Expense

	(In Millions)								
	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,			
		2014		2013		2014		2013	
Service cost	\$	2.0	\$	3.1	\$	4.0	\$	6.2	
Interest cost		4.1		4.4		8.1		8.7	
Expected return on plan assets		(4.3)		(5.0)		(8.6)		(10.0)	
Amortization:									
Prior service costs		(0.9)		(0.9)		(1.8)		(1.8)	
Net actuarial loss		1.1		3.0		2.3		5.8	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	2.0	\$	4.6	\$	4.0	\$	8.9	

We made pension contributions of \$10.4 million and \$14.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, compared to pension contributions of \$11.4 million and \$15.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. OPEB contributions are typically made on an annual basis in the first quarter of each year, but due to plan funding requirements being met, no OPEB contributions were required or made for the six months ended June 30, 2014. OPEB contributions were \$14.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

## **NOTE 12 - STOCK COMPENSATION PLANS**

# **Employees' Plans**

The Compensation and Organization Committee ("Committee") of the board of directors approved grants on February 10, 2014 and May 12, 2014 to certain officers and employees under our shareholder-approved 2012 Equity Plan for the 2014 to 2016 performance period. Shares granted under the awards during 2014 consisted of 0.5 million performance shares and 0.2 million restricted share units.

The 2012 Equity Plan was approved by our board of directors on March 13, 2012 and our shareholders approved it on May 8, 2012, effective as of March 13, 2012. The 2012 Equity Plan replaced the ICE Plan. The maximum number of shares that may be issued under the 2012 Equity Plan is 6.0 million common shares. No additional grants were issued from the ICE Plan after the date of approval of the 2012 Equity Plan; however, all awards previously granted under the ICE Plan continue in full force and effect in accordance with the terms of the award.

On February 10, 2014, upon recommendation by the Committee, Cliffs' Board approved and adopted, subject to the approval of Cliffs' shareholders at the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders, the Cliffs Amended and Restated 2012 Incentive Equity Plan, or Revised Incentive Plan. The principal reason for amending and restating the 2012 Equity Plan is to increase the number of common shares available for issuance by 5.0 million common shares. Upon shareholder approval, additional grants to employees will be made for the 2014 to 2016 performance period.

For the outstanding ICE Plan and 2012 Equity Plan awards, each performance share, if earned, entitles the holder to receive common shares or cash within a range between a threshold and maximum number of our common shares, with the actual number of common shares earned dependent upon whether the Company achieves certain objectives and performance goals as established by the Committee. The performance share or unit grants vest over a period of three years and are intended to be paid out in common shares or cash in certain circumstances. Performance for the 2012 to 2014, 2013 to 2015 and 2014 to 2016 performance periods are measured only on the basis of relative TSR for the period and measured against the constituents of the S&P Metals and Mining ETF Index on the last day of trading of the performance period. The final payouts for the 2012 to 2014 to 2016 performance period, the 2013 to 2015 performance period and the 2014 to 2016 performance period will vary from zero to 200 percent of the original grant. The restricted share units are subject to continued employment and will vest at the end of the respective performance period, and are payable in common shares or cash in certain circumstances at a time determined by the Committee at its discretion.

Upon the occurrence of a change in control, all performance shares, restricted share units, restricted stock, performance units and retention units granted to a participant prior to October 2013 will vest and become nonforfeitable and will be paid out in cash for awards currently outstanding. For any equity grants awarded after September 2013, if we experience a change in control, then the vesting of all such grants only will accelerate following the employee's termination associated with the change in control and if the common shares are not substituted with a replacement award.

## Determination of Fair Value

The fair value of each grant is estimated on the date of grant using a Monte Carlo simulation to forecast relative TSR performance. A correlation matrix of historic and projected stock prices was developed for both the Company and our predetermined peer group of mining and metals companies. The fair value assumes that performance goals will be achieved.

The expected term of the grant represents the time from the grant date to the end of the service period for each of the three plan-year agreements. We estimate the volatility of our common shares and that of the peer group of mining and metals companies using daily price intervals for all companies. The risk-free interest rate is the rate at the grant date on zero-coupon government bonds, with a term commensurate with the remaining life of the performance plans.

The following assumptions were utilized to estimate the fair value for the first and second quarters of 2014 performance share grants:

		Average						Fair Value (Percent of
Grant Date	rant Date rket Price	Expected Term (Years)	Expected Volatility	Risk-Free Interest Rate	Dividend Yield	Fa	ir Value	Grant Date Market Price)
February 10, 2014	\$ 20.58	2.89	54.0%	0.54%	2.92%	\$	22.21	107.92%
May 12, 2014	\$ 17.54	2.61	54.0%	0.54%	2.92%	\$	18.93	107.92%

The fair value of the restricted share units is determined based on the closing price of the Company's common shares on the grant date. The restricted share units granted under either the ICE Plan or 2012 Equity Plan vest over a period of three years.

## **NOTE 13 - INCOME TAXES**

For the six months ended June 30, 2014 we recognized an income tax benefit of \$90.9 million. The income tax benefit was primarily driven by the six months ended June 30, 2014 pre-tax loss. The year-to-date benefit was calculated using the year-to-date loss, considering non-taxable and non-deductible items expected to be incurred for the full year unless those items are expected to be ratably incurred based on operating activity or profitability, (e.g. depletion), in which case we only considered year-to-date actual amounts, multiplied by the statutory rate. The tax benefit of the non-taxable interest income is expected to be \$48.4 million for the year ending December 31, 2014. This is related to long-term intercompany loans between certain foreign subsidiaries and is a result of the difference in the tax characterization of the instruments in the United States, Australia and Canada. Interest expense is deductible by the debtors in Canada and Australia and interest income is excluded from taxable income by the creditors in the United States and Canada, resulting in an income tax benefit of \$48.4 million. The adjustment is based on the terms of the intercompany loans and no significant management judgments or estimates were involved in the computation of the non-taxable interest income. The current year benefit of the intercompany loan between the United States and Canada is \$27.8 million and will have no further impact on our financial results subsequent to April 27, 2014 when the terms of the loan were restructured. A benefit of \$20.6 million is expected from the intercompany loan between Canada and Australia and will continue to have an impact through the year ending December 31, 2020, of which the impact will vary depending on the fluctuations in currency exchange rates.

There were discrete items recorded in the first half of 2014 which resulted in a \$4.4 million benefit. These adjustments relate primarily to the finalization of certain foreign tax returns and foreign currency remeasurement of current and deferred tax assets and deferred liabilities.

# **NOTE 14 - CAPITAL STOCK**

#### **Depositary Shares**

On February 21, 2013, we issued 29.25 million depositary shares, equivalent to 731,250 preferred shares, comprised of the 27.0 million depositary share offering and the exercise of an underwriters' over-allotment option to purchase an additional 2.25 million depositary shares, for total net proceeds of approximately \$709.4 million, after underwriter fees and discounts. Each depositary share represents a 1/40<sup>th</sup> interest in a share of our 7.00 percent Series A Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock, Class A, without par value, or Preferred Share, at a price of \$25 per depositary share. Each Preferred Share has an initial liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share (equivalent to a \$25 liquidation preference per depositary share). When and if declared by our board of directors, we will pay cumulative dividends on each Preferred Share at an annual rate of 7.00 percent on the liquidation preference. We will pay declared dividends in cash on February 1, May 1, August 1 and November 1 of each year, commencing on May 1, 2013 and to, and including February 1, 2016. Holders of the depositary shares are entitled to a proportional interest in the rights and preferences of the Preferred Shares, including conversion, dividend, liquidation and voting rights, subject to the provisions of the deposit agreement.

The Preferred Shares may be converted, at the option of the holder, at the minimum conversion rate of 28.1480 of our common shares (equivalent to 0.7037 of our common shares per depositary share) at any time prior to February 1, 2016 or other than during a fundamental change conversion period, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. If not converted prior to that time, each Preferred Share will convert automatically on February 1, 2016 into between 28.1480 and 34.4840 common shares, par value \$0.125 per share, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. The number of common shares issuable on conversion will be determined based on the average VWAP per share of our common shares during the 20 trading day period beginning on, and including, the 23 rd scheduled trading day prior to February 1, 2016, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments. Upon conversion, a minimum of 20.6 million common shares and a maximum of 25.2 million common shares will be issued.

If certain fundamental changes involving the Company occur, holders of the Preferred Shares may convert their shares into a number of common shares at the conversion rate that will be adjusted under certain circumstances, and such holders also will be entitled to a fundamental change dividend makewhole amount. The Preferred Shares are not redeemable.

#### Dividends

On March 20, 2013, our board of directors declared a cash dividend of \$13.6111 per Preferred Share, which is equivalent to approximately \$0.34 per depositary share. The cash dividend was paid on May 1, 2013 to our Preferred Shareholders of record as of the close of business on April 15, 2013. On May 7, 2013, September 9, 2013 and November 11, 2013, our board of directors declared the quarterly cash dividend of \$17.50 per Preferred Share, which is equivalent to approximately \$0.44 per depositary share. The cash dividend was paid on August 1, 2013, November 1, 2013 and February 3, 2014 to our Preferred Shareholders of record as of the close of business on July 15, 2013, October 15, 2013 and January 15, 2014. On February 11, 2014, our board of directors declared the quarterly cash dividend of \$17.50 per Preferred Share. The cash dividend was paid on May 1, 2014. On February 11, 2014, our board of directors declared the quarterly cash dividend of \$17.50 per Preferred Share, which is equivalent to approximately \$0.44 per depositary share. The cash dividend was paid on May 1, 2014. On February 11, 2014, our board of directors declared the quarterly cash dividend of \$17.50 per Preferred Share, which is equivalent to approximately \$0.44 per depositary share. The cash dividend was paid on May 1, 2014 to our Preferred Shareholders of record as of the close of business on April 15, 2014. On May 13, 2014, our board of directors declared the quarterly cash dividends of \$17.50 per Preferred Share, which is equivalent to approximately \$0.44 per depositary share. The cash dividend of \$17.50 per Preferred Share, which is equivalent to approximately \$0.44 per depositary share. The cash dividend of \$12.8 million will be paid on August 1, 2014 to our shareholders of record as of the close of business on July 15, 2014.

On February 11, 2013, our board of directors approved a reduction to our quarterly cash dividend rate by 76 percent to \$0.15 per share. Our board of directors took this step in order to improve the future cash flows available for investment in the Phase II expansion at Bloom Lake, as well as to preserve our investment-grade credit ratings. The decreased dividend of \$0.15 per share was paid on March 1, 2013, June 3, 2013, September 3, 2013 and December 2, 2013 to our common shareholders of record as of the close of business on February 22, 2013, May 17, 2013, August 15, 2013 and November 22, 2013, respectively. Additionally, the cash dividend of \$0.15 per share was paid on March 3, 2014 and June 3, 2014 to our common shareholders of record as of close of business on February 21, 2014 and May 23, 2014.

c	2
J	2

# NOTE 15 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

The following table reflects the changes in shareholders' equity attributable to both Cliffs and the noncontrolling interests primarily related to Bloom Lake, Tilden and Empire of which Cliffs owns 82.8 percent, 85 percent and 79 percent, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013:

	(In Millions)						
		Cliffs areholders' Equity		controlling nterest	Total Equity		
December 31, 2013	\$	6,069.5	\$	814.8	\$	6,884.3	
Comprehensive income							
Net loss		(59.4)		3.2		(56.2)	
Other comprehensive income		92.7		1.1		93.8	
Total comprehensive income	_	33.3		4.3		37.6	
Stock and other incentive plans		(3.1)		—		(3.1)	
Common and preferred share dividends		(72.1)		_		(72.1)	
Undistributed losses to noncontrolling interest		_		(17.1)		(17.1)	
June 30, 2014	\$	6,027.6	\$	802.0	\$	6,829.6	

	(In Millions)						
	Cliffs Shareholders' Equity			Noncontrolling Interest	Total Equity		
December 31, 2012	\$	4,632.7	\$	1,128.2	\$	5,760.9	
Comprehensive income							
Net income		253.0		9.1		262.1	
Other comprehensive income		(184.0)		2.3		(181.7)	
Total comprehensive income		69.0		11.4		80.4	
Issuance of common shares		263.4		_		263.4	
Issuance of preferred shares		731.3		_		731.3	
Stock and other incentive plans		3.7		_		3.7	
Common and preferred share dividends		(68.9)		_		(68.9)	
Capital contribution by noncontrolling interest to subsidiary		_		13.0		13.0	
June 30, 2013	\$	5,631.2	\$	1,152.6	\$	6,783.8	

# Table of Contents

The following table reflects the changes in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to Cliffs shareholders' equity for June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013:

	(In Millions)									
	Changes in Pension and Other Post- Retirement Benefits, net of tax	Unrealized Net Gain (Loss) on Securities, net of tax	Unrealized Net Gain (Loss) on Foreign Currency Translation	Net Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Derivative Financial Instruments, net of tax	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)					
Balance December 31, 2013	\$ (204.9)	\$ 6.2	\$ 106.7	\$ (20.9)	\$ (112.9)					
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(0.4)	3.8	40.5	(2.3)	41.6					
Net loss (gain) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	3.3	0.1	_	12.8	16.2					
Balance March 31, 2014	\$ (202.0)	\$ 10.1	\$ 147.2	\$ (10.4)	\$ (55.1)					
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	(1.4)	(2.4)	19.7	9.7	25.6					
Net loss (gain) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	4.0	(1.3)	_	6.6	9.3					
Balance June 30, 2014	\$ (199.4)	\$ 6.4	\$ 166.9	\$ 5.9	\$ (20.2)					

	(In Millions)									
	Change Pension Other F Retiren Benefits, tax	n and Post- nent net of	Unrealized Net Gain (Loss) on Securities, net of tax		Unrealized Net Gain (Loss) on Foreign Currency Translation		Net Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Derivative Financial Instruments, net of tax		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	
Balance December 31, 2012	\$	(382.7)	\$	2.1	\$	316.3	\$	8.7	\$	(55.6)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications		(1.1)		2.5		3.3		(5.0)		(0.3)
Net loss (gain) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		6.4		0.1		_		(2.0)		4.5
Balance March 31, 2013	\$	(377.4)	\$	4.7	\$	319.6	\$	1.7	\$	(51.4)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	\$	(1.5)	\$	(2.0)	\$	(152.0)	\$	(42.2)	\$	(197.7)
Net loss (gain) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	8.1	\$	3.6	\$	_	\$	(2.2)	\$	9.5
Balance June 30, 2013	\$	(370.8)	\$	6.3	\$	167.6	\$	(42.7)	\$	(239.6)
, .		. ,			_		-	. ,	_	. ,
The following table reflects the details about Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) components related to Cliffs shareholders' equity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014:

				(In Mil	llioi	ns)			
	An	nount of	Ga	ain)/Loss I	Rec	lassified i	nto	Income	
Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Th	ree Mon June				Six Mont Jun			Affected Line Item in the Statement of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated
Components	2	014		2013		2014		2013	Operations
Amortization of Pension and Postretirement Benefit Liability:							_		
Prior-service costs	\$	5.6	\$	(0.1)	\$	5.4	\$	(0.3)	(1)
Net actuarial loss		(1.2)		11.2		3.6		20.8	(1)
Settlements/curtailments		0.9		—		1.2		_	(1)
		5.3		11.1	-	10.2		20.5	Total before taxes
		(1.3)		(3.0)		(2.9)		(6.0)	Income tax benefit (expense)
	\$	4.0	\$	8.1	\$	7.3	\$	14.5	Net of taxes
			_				-		
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities:									
Sale of marketable securities	\$	(1.9)	\$	(1.1)	\$	(1.7)	\$	(1.1)	Other non-operating income
Impairment		_		5.2		_		5.3	Other non-operating income
		(1.9)		4.1	-	(1.7)		4.2	Total before taxes
		0.6		(0.5)		0.5		(0.5)	Income tax benefit (expense)
	\$	(1.3)	\$	3.6	\$	(1.2)	\$	3.7	Net of taxes
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative financial instruments:									
Australian dollar foreign exchange contracts	\$	5.3	\$	(3.7)	\$	18.3	\$	(6.3)	Product revenues
Canadian dollar foreign exchange contracts		4.4		0.6		9.9		0.3	Cost of goods sold and operating expenses
		9.7		(3.1)		28.2		(6.0)	Total before taxes
		(3.1)		0.9		(8.8)		1.8	Income tax benefit (expense)
	\$	6.6	\$	(2.2)	\$	19.4	\$	(4.2)	Net of taxes
Total Reclassifications for the Period	\$	9.3	\$	9.5	\$	25.5	\$	14.0	

(1) These accumulated other comprehensive income components are included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost. See NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS for further information.

# **NOTE 16 - RELATED PARTIES**

Three of our five U.S. iron ore mines and our Bloom Lake mine in Eastern Canada are owned with various joint venture partners that are integrated steel producers or their subsidiaries. We are the manager of each of the mines we co-own and rely on our joint venture partners to make their required capital contributions and to pay for their share of the iron ore pellets and concentrate that we produce. The joint venture partners are also our customers. The following is a summary of the mine ownership of these iron ore mines at June 30, 2014:

Mine	Cliffs Natural Resources	ArcelorMittal	U.S. Steel Corporation	wisco
Empire	79.0%	21.0%	_	_
Tilden	85.0 %	—	15.0%	_
Hibbing	23.0 %	62.3%	14.7%	—
Bloom Lake	82.8%	_	_	17.2%

During the first quarter of 2014, we announced that we entered into a revised commercial agreement with ArcelorMittal to supply iron ore pellets for an additional two years through the end of January 2017, with a mutual option to extend for a third year. In addition, Cliffs and ArcelorMittal also extended the joint partnership for the Empire Mine preventing the impending closure in 2014.

ArcelorMittal has a unilateral right to put its interest in the Empire mine to us, but has not exercised this right to date.

Product revenues from related parties were as follows:

			(In M	illior	ıs)		
	 Three Mo Jur	nths e 30			Six Mon Jur	ths E ne 30	
	2014		2013		2014		2013
Product revenues from related parties	\$ 322.1	\$	455.0	\$	539.0	\$	756.2
Total product revenues	1,018.6		1,391.6		1,879.5		2,474.2
Related party product revenue as a percent of total product revenue	31.6%		32.7%		28.7%		30.6%

Amounts due from related parties recorded in Accounts receivable, net and Other current assets, including trade accounts receivable, a customer supply agreement and provisional pricing arrangements, were \$69.9 million and \$132.0 million at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Amounts due to related parties recorded in Accounts payable and Other current liabilities, including provisional pricing arrangements, were \$26.3 million at June 30, 2014 and amounts including provisional pricing arrangements and liabilities to related parties were \$25.1 million at December 31, 2013.

## **NOTE 17 - EARNINGS PER SHARE**

The following table summarizes the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share:

		(In Mill	ions	, Except I	Per	Share Am	oun	ts)
	-	Three Mon June				Six Montl June		
		2014		2013		2014		2013
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CLIFFS SHAREHOLDERS	\$	10.9	\$	146.0	\$	(59.4)	\$	253.0
PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS		(12.8)		(12.9)		(25.6)		(22.8)
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CLIFFS COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$	(1.9)	\$	133.1	\$	(85.0)	\$	230.2
Weighted Average Number of Shares:								
Basic		153.1		153.0		153.1		150.4
Depositary Shares		—		25.2		—		19.1
Employee Stock Plans		—		0.2		_		0.2
Diluted		153.1		178.4		153.1		169.7
Earnings (Loss) per Common Share Attributable to Cliffs Common Shareholders - Basic:	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.87	\$	(0.56)	\$	1.53
Earnings (Loss) per Common Share Attributable to Cliffs Common Shareholders - Diluted:	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.82	\$	(0.56)	\$	1.49

The diluted earnings per share calculation excludes 25.2 million depositary shares that were anti-dilutive for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. Additionally, the diluted earnings per share calculation excludes 0.8 million shares related to equity plan awards that were anti-dilutive for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2014.

## **NOTE 18 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

### Contingencies

### Claims and Legal Proceedings

We are currently a party to various claims and legal proceedings incidental to our operations. If management believes that a loss arising from these matters is probable and can reasonably be estimated, we record the amount of the loss, or the minimum estimated liability when the loss is estimated using a range, and no point within the range is more probable than another. As additional information becomes available, any potential liability related to these matters is assessed and the estimates are revised, if necessary. Based on currently available information, management believes that the ultimate outcome of these matters, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, claims and legal proceedings are subject to inherent uncertainties, and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include monetary damages, additional funding requirements or an injunction. If an unfavorable ruling were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material impact on the financial position and results of operations of the period in which the ruling occurs, or future periods. Specifically, our wholly owned subsidiary, CQIM, along with the Bloom Lake General Partner Limited and Bloom Lake, instituted an arbitral claim against Bloom Lake's former customer, Worldlink, in October 2011 for material and/or fundamental breaches of the parties' 2007 offtake agreement for the purchase and sale of iron concentrate produced at the Bloom Lake mine. We filed the arbitration claim with the International Court of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of the offtake agreement. Bloom Lake terminated the offtake agreement with Worldlink in August 2011 due to Worldlink's failure to fulfill its obligations under the agreement and Worldlink's demand to renegotiate the price of the iron ore concentrate in spite of being party to a long-term offtake agreement. Our damages for the breach of the offtake agreement are in excess of \$85 million and Worldlink has counterclaimed for damages in excess of \$100 million. We strongly disagree with Worldlink's defenses and counterclaims and intend to vigorously pursue our claim. The arbitrators heard testimony at a hearing in May 2014 but have not yet issued a decision. A decision is expected from the arbitrators later in 2014.



# NOTE 19 - CASH FLOW INFORMATION

A reconciliation of capital additions to cash paid for capital expenditures for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

	 (In Mil	lions)	
	Six Montl June		ed
	2014		2013
Capital additions	\$ 131.2	\$	413.8
Cash paid for capital expenditures	 164.3		501.2
Difference	\$ (33.1)	\$	(87.4)
Non-cash accruals	\$ (43.0)	\$	(87.4)
Capital leases	 9.9		
Total	\$ (33.1)	\$	(87.4)

# Non-Cash Financing Activities - Declared Dividends

On May 13, 2014, our board of directors declared the quarterly cash dividend on our Preferred Shares of \$17.50 per share, which is equivalent to approximately \$0.44 per depositary share, each representing 1/40<sup>th</sup> of a share of Series A preferred stock. The cash dividend of \$12.8 million will be payable on August 1, 2014 to our preferred shareholders of record as of the close of business on July 15, 2014.

## **NOTE 20 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

We have evaluated subsequent events through the date of financial statement issuance.

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") is designed to provide a reader of our financial statements with a narrative from the perspective of management on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and other factors that may affect our future results. We believe it is important to read our MD&A in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 as well as other publicly available information.

#### Overview

Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. traces its history back to 1847. Today, we are an international mining and natural resources company. As an independent, owner-operator mining company supplying the global steelmaking industry, we are a major global iron ore producer and a significant producer of high- and low-volatile metallurgical coal. Driven by the core values of safety, social, environmental and capital stewardship, our associates across the globe endeavor to provide all stakeholders with operating and financial transparency. We are organized through a global commercial group responsible for sales and delivery of our products and a global operations group responsible for the production of the minerals that we market. Our operations are organized according to product category and geographic location: U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore, Asia Pacific Iron Ore, North American Coal, Ferroalloys and our Global Exploration Group.

In the U.S., we currently operate five iron ore mines in Michigan and Minnesota, four metallurgical coal operations located in West Virginia and Alabama, and one thermal coal mine located in West Virginia. We also own two iron ore mines in Eastern Canada. Our Asia Pacific operations consist solely of our Koolyanobbing iron ore mining complex in Western Australia. We also have other non-producing operations and investments around the world that provide us with optionality to diversify and expand our portfolio of assets in the future.

The key driver of our business is global demand for steelmaking raw materials in both emerging and developed economies, with China and the U.S. representing the two largest markets for our Company. In the first half of 2014, China produced approximately 412 million metric tons of crude steel, or approximately 49 percent of total global crude steel production, whereas the U.S. produced approximately 43 million metric tons of crude steel, or about 5 percent of total global crude steel production. These figures represent a 3 percent increase in Chinese crude steel production and an approximate 1 percent increase in U.S. crude steel production when compared to the same period in 2013.

Average global total steel capacity utilization was about 78 percent in the first half of 2014, an approximate 1 percent decrease from the same period in 2013; U.S. total steel capacity utilization was about 77 percent in the first half of 2014, which is unchanged from the rate in the same period in 2013. Through the first half of 2014, global crude steel production grew about 3 percent compared to the same period in 2013.

The global price of iron ore is influenced significantly by the worldwide supply of iron ore and by Chinese demand. The global supply of iron ore continues to increase, which has put downward pressure on current pricing. Additionally, Chinese demand for raw materials was moderate during the first half of 2014 as a result of continued weak profitability of Chinese steel mills and high levels of raw material inventories at the steel mills and ports. The world market price that is utilized most commonly in our sales contracts is the Platts 62 percent Fe fines spot price. The Platts 62 percent Fe fines spot price decreased 18.5 percent to an average price of \$103 per ton for the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared to the respective quarter of 2013. In comparison, the year to date Platts pricing also has decreased 18.5 percent to an average price of \$112 per ton during the six months ended June 30, 2014. The spot price volatility impacts our realized revenue rates, particularly in our Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore business segments because their contracts correlate heavily to world market spot pricing. However, the impact of this volatility on our U.S. Iron Ore revenues is muted and/or deferred partially because the pricing in our fourter contracts mostly is structured to be based on 12-month averages. Additionally, contracts often are priced partially or completely on other indices instead of world market spot prices.

The metallurgical coal market continues to be in an oversupplied position due to increased supply from Australian producers. Those producers, benefiting from currency impacts, are very competitive in European and South American markets. In addition, China's demand for metallurgical coal imports has been sporadic.

Consistent with the above, the quarterly benchmark price for premium low-volatile hard coking coal between Australian metallurgical coal suppliers and Japanese/Korean consumers decreased 30.2 percent to a second quarter average of \$120 per metric ton in 2014 versus the comparable period in 2013. In comparison, the year-to-date quarterly benchmark average price for premium low-volatile hard coking coal decreased 22.0 percent to \$132 per ton during the six months ended June 30, 2014 when compared to the comparable period in 2013. The decline in market pricing has

impacted negatively realized revenue rates for our North American Coal business segment, and as a result, we issued a WARN notice in June 2014 to inform employees we intend to temporarily idle our Pinnacle mine operations if market conditions do not improve.

We expect economic growth in the U.S. to accelerate through the second half of 2014. Despite the unanticipated first quarter 2014 contraction in real GDP, which was largely caused by adverse winter weather and a change in private business inventories, growth is expected to be supported by continued improvement in the labor market and other key indicators. Domestic steel production and the corresponding demand for steelmaking raw materials are expected to be supported by both healthy construction activity and motor vehicle production. We expect China's economy will continue to expand while, correspondingly, increased Chinese domestic steel production will continue to require imported steelmaking raw materials to satisfy demand. However, projections indicate that China's real GDP growth is expected to slow from 7.7 percent in 2013 to approximately 7.5 percent in 2014, which, when coupled with increased supply and the continued implementation of Chinese reform policies, could result in a weaker pricing environment for steelmaking raw materials. Nevertheless, growth in both the U.S. and China should provide a continued source of demand for our products throughout the remainder of 2014.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, our consolidated revenues were \$1.1 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively, and a net loss per diluted share of \$0.01 and \$0.56, respectively. This compares with consolidated revenues of \$1.5 billion and \$2.6 billion, respectively, and with net income per diluted share of \$0.82 and \$1.49, respectively, for the comparable periods in 2013. Net income in the first half of 2014 was impacted primarily by lower market pricing for our products, which decreased product revenues by \$187.1 million and \$341.8 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, when compared to the same periods of 2013. Additionally, results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 were impacted negatively by \$25.0 million and \$63.0 million, respectively, of Wabush idle costs.

## Strategy

In recent years, we have shifted from a merger and acquisition-based strategy to one that primarily focuses on organic growth and productivity initiatives. We believe that our strategic imperative is to create long-term shareholder value through financial discipline, operational excellence, long-term customer relationships, and strength of our people.

We believe our ability to execute our strategy is dependent on our financial position, balance sheet strength and financial flexibility to manage through the inevitable volatility in commodity prices. We will continue to execute initiatives that improve our cost profile and increase long-term profitability. The cash generated from our operations in excess of that used for sustaining and license-to-operate capital spending and dividends will be evaluated and allocated towards initiatives that enhance shareholder value.

### **Recent Developments**

Currently, our Board of Directors is soliciting our shareholders' votes for matters being submitted for approval at our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The Board has nominated a slate of seven directors for the available 11 seats at the 2014 Annual Meeting. Casablanca Capital LP, a New Yorkbased hedge fund and owner of approximately 5.2 percent of our common shares, has initiated a proxy contest and nominated a slate of six nominees for election as directors at the 2014 Annual Meeting in opposition to the seven nominees recommended by the Cliffs Board.

On June 30, 2014, we announced that we entered into an agreement to amend our existing \$1.75 billion unsecured revolving credit facility. The amended agreement replaces the existing leverage covenant ratio with a Debt-to-Capitalization ratio for the life of the facility in order to provide us with a more consistent source of liquidity. The amended agreement also increases the current EBITDA-to-Interest covenant to a minimum requirement ratio of 3.5 times versus the previous requirement ratio of 2.5 times. This amended facility retains substantial financial flexibility for management to continue making prudent business decisions during this period of pricing volatility. Unlike the prior amendment completed in the first quarter of 2013, this amendment addresses the leverage covenant for the life of the facility, while also retaining the full \$1.75 billion facility size and the existing maturity date of October 16, 2017.

On June 25, 2014, we issued a WARN Act notice to all employees of Pinnacle, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, the United Mine Workers of America and state and local government agencies, that we intend to temporarily idle our operations at the Pinnacle mine as a result of current poor market conditions for metallurgical coal. The WARN Act notice began the 60-day notice period that must be given prior to temporarily idling operations under the WARN Act. If market conditions do not improve, it is expected that the idling of the Pinnacle mine could last more than six months beginning on or about August 25, 2014.

On May 27, 2014, we announced that we were further reducing our expected full-year 2014 capital spending range by approximately 25 percent, or \$100 million, to \$275 million to \$325 million. This significant reduction is in addition to our previously announced capital spending decrease of approximately 55 percent, or \$460 million, from our full-year 2013 capital expenditures. The \$100 million decrease will impact all of our reporting segments, with Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and North American Coal making up 75 percent of the reduction.

## **Business Segments**

Our Company's primary operations are organized and managed according to product category and geographic location: U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore, Asia Pacific Iron Ore, North American Coal, Ferroalloys and our Global Exploration Group. The Ferroalloys and Global Exploration Group operating segments do not meet the criteria for reportable segments. In alignment with our capital allocation strategy, we anticipate decreased levels of exploration spending in our Global Exploration Group and Ferroalloys operation segments throughout 2014.

#### **Results of Operations – Consolidated**

#### 2014 Compared to 2013

The following is a summary of our consolidated results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

						(In Mil	lion	s)				
		Th	ree	Months E June 30,	Indec	1		S		/onths Er June 30,	nded	
	:	2014		2013	Fa	/ariance avorable/ favorable)		2014		2013	Fa	ariance vorable/ favorable)
Revenues from product sales and services	\$ 1	100.8	\$	1,488.5	\$	(387.7)	\$ 2	2,040.8	\$ 2	2,629.0	\$	(588.2)
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses	(1	008.8)	(*	,220.3)		211.5	(1	l,885.6)	(2	2,122.9)		237.3
Sales margin	\$	92.0	\$	268.2	\$	(176.2)	\$	155.2	\$	506.1	\$	(350.9)
Sales margin %		8.4%		18.0%		(9.6)%		7.6%		19.3%		(11.7)%

#### **Revenues from Product Sales and Services**

Sales revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 decreased \$387.7 million and \$588.2 million, respectively, or 26.0 percent and 22.4 percent, respectively, from the comparable periods in 2013. The decrease in sales revenue during the second quarter and first half of 2014 compared to the comparable periods in 2013 was primarily attributable to the decrease in market pricing for our products, which impacted revenues by \$187.1 million and \$341.8 million for three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, and lower sales volumes in our U.S. Iron Ore operations, which impacted revenues by \$153.5 million and \$186.1 million for three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively.

Changes in world market pricing heavily impacts our revenues each year. Iron ore revenues were impacted primarily by the decrease in the Platts 62 percent Fe fines spot price, which declined 18.5 percent to an average price of \$103 per ton in the second quarter of 2014, resulting in decreased revenues of \$121.8 million. The decrease in our realized revenue rates during the second quarter of 2014 compared to the second quarter of 2013 was 20.9 percent, 26.5 percent and 3.2 percent for our Eastern Canadian Iron Ore, Asia Pacific Iron Ore and U.S. Iron Ore operations, respectively. During the three months ended June 30, 2014, our North American Coal business segment market pricing has continued to be affected by various supply and demand pressures in the metallurgical coal markets, which has impacted negatively revenue by \$65.3 million and decreased our realized revenue rate by 30.6 percent. Lower worldwide iron ore sales volumes of 952 thousand tons or \$108.9 million driven by adverse weather conditions on the Great Lakes delaying timing of shipments and due to the idling of the Wabush facility resulting in lower iron ore sales of 472 thousand tons or \$63.6 million during the first half of 2014, which have impacted negatively the quarter-over-quarter results.

During the first half of 2014, iron ore revenues were impacted primarily by the decrease in the Platts 62 percent Fe fines spot price, which declined 18.5 percent to an average price of \$112 per ton, resulting in decreased revenues of \$242.1 million, excluding the impact of Wabush tons sold. The decrease in our realized revenue rates during the first half of 2014 compared to the first half of 2013 was 23.7 percent, 22.1 percent and 5.2 percent for our Eastern Canadian Iron Ore, Asia Pacific Iron Ore and U.S. Iron Ore operations, respectively. Also, the decision to idle Wabush impacted the period-over-period revenues negatively by \$92.8 million. Additionally, during the six months ended June 30, 2014, our North American Coal business segment experienced continued downward pricing pressures, which revenues were impacted negatively by \$99.7 million and decreased our realized revenue rate by 25.8 percent. Additionally, lower worldwide iron ore sales volumes of 1,070 thousand tons or \$121.8 million, excluding the impact of the period-over-period Wabush volume variance, and lower North American Coal sales volumes of 267 thousand tons or \$28.6 million, negatively impacted the first half of 2014 results compared to the first half of 2013.

Refer to "Results of Operations – Segment Information" for additional information regarding the specific factors that impacted revenue during the period.

### Cost of Goods Sold and Operating Expenses

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 were \$1,008.8 million and \$1,885.6 million, respectively, which represented a decrease of \$211.5 million and \$237.3 million, or 17.3 percent and 11.2 percent, respectively, from the comparable prior-year periods.

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 decreased as costs were impacted positively as a result of the Wabush idle that occurred during the second quarter of 2014, which reduced costs by \$95.0 million and \$104.1 million period-over-period. Also, the lower sales volumes as discussed above decreased costs by \$64.0 million and \$87.0 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014. Additionally, as a result of favorable foreign exchange rates in the second quarter and first half of 2014 versus the comparable periods in 2013, we realized lower costs of \$18.1 million and \$64.8 million, respectively.

Refer to "Results of Operations – Segment Information" for additional information regarding the specific factors that impacted our operating results during the period.

## Other Operating Income (Expense)

The following is a summary of other operating income (expense) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

					(In Mil	lio	ns)				
	 Th	ree	e Months E June 30,	End	led		S	ix	Months Ei June 30,	nded	
	 2014		2013		Variance Favorable/ Jnfavorable)		2014		2013	F	/ariance avorable/ nfavorable)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ (52.5)	\$	(48.9)	\$	(3.6)	\$	(103.6)	\$	(97.3)	\$	(6.3)
Exploration costs	(3.4)		(12.6)		9.2		(7.6)		(35.3)		27.7
Miscellaneous - net	(47.8)		55.3		(103.1)		(106.4)		56.8		(163.2)
	\$ (103.7)	\$	(6.2)	\$	(97.5)	\$	(217.6)	\$	(75.8)	\$	(141.8)

Selling, general and administrative expenses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 increased \$3.6 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, over the comparable periods in 2013. The three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was impacted by \$4.6 million and \$10.8 million, respectively, in severance costs related to involuntary terminations throughout the first half of 2014, which includes a 33 percent decrease in officer level executives since December 31, 2013. Additionally, the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was impacted by \$4.0 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, in proxy-contest-related costs.

Exploration costs decreased by \$9.2 million and \$27.7 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, from the comparable periods in 2013, due to decreases in costs at our Ferroalloys and Global Exploration Group operating segments. Our Ferroalloys operating segment had cost decreases of \$6.8 million and \$21.4 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, over the comparable prior-year periods due to the decision made in the fourth quarter of 2013 to indefinitely suspend the Chromite Project and to not allocate additional



capital for the project given the uncertain timeline and risks associated with the development of necessary infrastructure to bring the project online. Our Global Exploration Group had cost decreases of \$2.0 million and \$5.1 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, over the comparable prioryear periods, due to lower overhead and professional services spend. In alignment with our capital allocation strategy, we anticipate decreased levels of exploration spending to continue throughout 2014.

The following is a summary of Miscellaneous - net for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

					(In Mi	lio	ns)				
	 Th	ree	e Months I June 30,	Ende	ed		S	ix l	Months E June 30,	ndeo	k
	2014		2013	F	Variance avorable/ nfavorable)		2014		2013	F	Variance avorable/ nfavorable)
Foreign exchange remeasurement	\$ (11.4)	\$	47.0	\$	(58.4)	\$	(18.1)	\$	50.5	\$	(68.6)
Gain on sale of Cockatoo Island	_		18.6		(18.6)		_		18.6		(18.6)
Minimum shipment penalties	(14.2)		(5.6)		(8.6)		(29.9)		(5.7)		(24.2)
Wabush operations idle costs	(25.0)		(2.2)		(22.8)		(63.0)		(2.2)		(60.8)
Other	2.8		(2.5)		5.3		4.6		(4.4)		9.0
	\$ (47.8)	\$	55.3	\$	(103.1)	\$	(106.4)	\$	56.8	\$	(163.2)

Miscellaneous - net expense increased by \$103.1 million and \$163.2 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, from the comparable periods in 2013. The three and six months ended June 30, 2014 was impacted negatively by \$25.0 million and \$63.0 million, respectively, as a result of the idling of the Wabush Scully mine operations. We estimate the full year cost of the idling to be approximately \$100 million in 2014, which includes idling costs, employment-related expenditures and contract costs. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, we incurred \$14.2 million and \$29.9 million, respectively, for failure to meet minimum monthly shipment requirements as a result of the continued delay in the Bloom Lake Phase II expansion and anticipate approximately \$14 million to \$16 million for each quarter until the Bloom Lake Phase II expansion is completed. Additionally, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, there was an unfavorable incremental impact of \$58.4 million and \$68.6 million, respectively, due to the change in foreign exchange re-measurement on short-term intercompany notes, Australian bank accounts that are denominated in U.S. dollars and certain monetary financial assets and liabilities, which are denominated in something other than the functional currency of the entity.

# Other Income (Expense)

The following is a summary of other income (expense) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

					(In Mill	lio	ns)				
	 Tł	re	e Months E June 30,	Enc	led		S	Six	Months Ei June 30,	ndeo	d
	2014		2013		Variance Favorable/ Unfavorable)		2014		2013	F	Variance Favorable/ Infavorable)
Interest expense, net	\$ (44.8)	\$	(40.7)	\$	(4.1)	\$	(87.5)	\$	(89.8)	\$	2.3
Other non-operating income	2.2		(2.8)		5.0		3.4		(1.7)		5.1
	\$ (42.6)	\$	(43.5)	\$	0.9	\$	(84.1)	\$	(91.5)	\$	7.4

#### Income Taxes

We determined our interim tax provision using a methodology required by ASC 740, *Income Taxes*. As our loss for the six months ended June 30, 2014 exceeds the anticipated ordinary loss for the full year, the tax benefit recognized for the six months ended June 30, 2014 is limited to the amount that would be recognized if the six months ended June 30, 2014 ordinary loss were the anticipated ordinary loss for the full year. The following represents a summary of our tax provision for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

			(In Mi	llior	is)			
	 TI	Months June 30,	ded		ę	Six N	Months E June 30,	ed
	 2014	2013	Variance		2014		2013	Variance
Income tax benefit (expense)	\$ 69.1	\$ (9.3)	\$ 78.4	\$	90.9	\$	(3.3)	\$ 94.2

We have recorded an income tax benefit for the six months ended June 30, 2014 of \$90.9 million compared with an expense of \$3.3 million for the comparable prior-year period. The increase in the benefit from the prior-year period is due primarily to the six months ended June 30, 2014 pre-tax loss. Other items contributing to the benefit are deductions for percentage depletion in excess of cost depletion related to U.S. operations and non-taxable interest income.

The tax benefit of the non-taxable interest income is expected to be \$48.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Of this, \$27.8 million, relates to an intercompany note between the United States and Canada. This note was restructured on April 27, 2014 and will no longer result in an income tax benefit on the financials after this date. \$20.6 million relates to an intercompany note between Canada and Australia, the balance of which is \$838.2 million at June 30, 2014 with an interest rate of 9.4 percent and a maturity date of December 31, 2020. The balances of the intercompany loans are not indefinitely invested in the subsidiaries.

Discrete items for the six months ended June 30, 2014 provided a benefit of approximately \$4.4 million. These adjustments relate primarily to the finalization of certain foreign tax returns and foreign currency remeasurement of current and deferred tax assets and deferred liabilities. Discrete items for the six months ended June 30, 2013 related primarily to adjustments to deferred tax balances, including the reversal of a previously recorded valuation allowance for which it was determined the benefit of the associated deferred tax asset is realizable.

## Equity Loss from Ventures

Equity loss from ventures for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 of \$67.9 million and \$73.4 million, respectively. The equity loss from ventures for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 primarily is comprised of the impairment charge of \$67.6 million related to our 30 percent ownership interest in Amapá. In the fourth quarter of 2012, our Board of Directors authorized the sale of our 30 percent interest in Amapá. The sale of Amapá was completed in the fourth quarter of 2013.

### Noncontrolling Interest

Noncontrolling interest primarily is comprised of our consolidated, but less-than-wholly owned subsidiaries at the Bloom Lake and Empire mining operations. The net loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest related to Bloom Lake was \$9.4 million and \$16.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, compared to net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest of \$3.3 million and net income attributable to noncontrolling interest of \$2.2 million for the comparable periods in 2013.

The net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest of the Empire mining venture was \$13.1 million and \$20.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively, compared to a net loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest of \$1.3 million and net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest of \$7.0 million for the compared respective periods in 2013.

#### **Results of Operations – Segment Information**

We are organized and managed according to product category and geographic location. Segment information reflects our strategic business units, which are organized to meet customer requirements and global competition. We evaluate segment performance based on sales margin, defined as revenues less cost of goods sold and operating expenses identifiable to each segment. This measure of operating performance is an effective measurement as we focus on reducing production costs.

## 2014 Compared to 2013

### U.S. Iron Ore

The following is a summary of U.S. Iron Ore results for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

							(In Millions	)				
							Chang	es dı	ie to:			
		hree Moi Jun 2014	 		evenue nd cost rate		Sales volume		Idle /production volume variance	eight and imburse- ment	-	Total change
Revenues from product sales and services	\$	514.6	\$ 701.7	\$	(15.1)	\$		\$		\$ (18.5)	\$	(187.1
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses		(367.4)	(485.4)		(19.6)		94.4		24.7	18.5		118.0
Sales margin	\$	147.2	\$ 216.3	\$	(34.7)	\$	(59.1)	\$	24.7	\$ _	\$	(69.1
	т 	hree Moi Jun	 ),		~		Percent					
Per Ton Information		2014	 2013	Di	fference	_	change					
Realized product revenue rate <sup>1</sup>	\$	106.80	\$ 110.32	\$	(3.52)		(3.2)%					
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate <sup>1</sup> (excluding DDA)		66.73	67.59		(0.86)		(1.3)%					
Depreciation, depletion & amortization		6.13	4.96		1.17		23.6 %					
Total cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate		72.86	72.55		0.31		0.4 %					
Sales margin	\$	33.94	\$ 37.77	\$	(3.83)		(10.1)%					
Sales tons <sup>2</sup> (In thousands)		4,337	5,727									
Production tons <sup>2</sup> (In thousands)												
Total		7,575	6,116									
Cliffs' share of total		5,805	4,387									

<sup>1</sup> Excludes revenues and expenses related to domestic freight, which are offsetting and have no impact on sales margin. Revenues also exclude venture partner cost reimbursements.

<sup>2</sup> Tons are long tons (2,240 pounds).

Sales margin for U.S. Iron Ore was \$147.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared with sales margin of \$216.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. The decline compared to the prior-year period is attributable to a decrease in revenue of \$187.1 million partially offset by a decrease in cost of goods sold and operating expenses of \$118.0 million. Sales margin per ton decreased 10.1% to \$33.94 in the second quarter of 2014 compared to the second quarter of 2013.

Revenue decreased by \$168.6 million, excluding the decrease of \$18.5 million of freight and reimbursements from the prior-year period, predominantly due to:

- Lower sales volumes of 1,390 thousand tons or \$153.5 million:
  - Substantially driven by reduced vessel shipment availability due to the freeze on the Great Lakes delaying the start of the 2014 shipping season, which reduced sales between years on customer contracts and limited additional sales with customers with increased nominations in 2014. This was partially offset by a customer with an additional contract in 2014 and higher demand from a customer due to the Great Lakes freeze preventing that customer from reaching its self-produced ore;
  - Decreased export sales due to increased 2014 Great Lakes nominations. Additionally, an export contract for one customer was transferred from Wabush in the prior-year period and did not

recur in the three months ended June 30, 2014 due to expiration of the contract at the end of 2013; and

- The non-renewal of a spot sale contract that occurred in the prior-year quarter.
- The average year-to-date realized product revenue rate decreased by \$3.52 per ton or 3.2 percent to \$106.80 per ton in second quarter of 2014, which resulted in a decrease of \$15.1 million. This decline is a result of:
  - Realized revenue rates impacted negatively by \$5 per ton related to one major customer contract with a reduced average selling price due to the contractual change in the 2014 pricing mechanism;
  - Changes in customer pricing reducing the realized revenue rate by \$3 per ton driven primarily by the period-over-period reduction in market pricing, which is a key component of many of our pricing mechanisms, slightly offset by a favorable change in a customer contract rate due to an increase in hot-band steel pricing;
  - Unfavorable impact to realized revenue rates of \$3 per ton related to one customer due to an arbitration ruling the same quarter of 2014, which resulted in an adjustment of pricing for pellets beginning in 2013; and
  - Partially offset by a favorable customer mix impacting the realized revenue rates by \$7 per ton primarily attributable to increased sales tonnage from a customer contract with a favorable rate and decreased sales tonnage from less favorable rate contracts.

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses in the second quarter of 2014 decreased \$99.5 million, excluding the decrease of \$18.5 million of freight and reimbursements from the same period in the prior-year period, predominantly as a result of:

- Lower sales volumes as discussed above that decreased costs by \$94.4 million compared to the prior-year period and by lower idle costs of \$24.7 million due to restarting the two production lines at our Northshore mine during the first quarter of 2014 that were previously idled in January 2013 and the non-recurrence of the 2013 summer shutdown of Empire mine in 2014;
- Partially offset by increased costs related to higher energy rates, increased mobile equipment repairs, and increased maintenance and repair costs primarily driven by increased kiln repairs at Empire mine in 2014 due to the 2016 life-of-mine extension, conveyor belt repairs at United Taconite mine and Northshore mine, mill repair at Hibbing mine and a unit repair at Tilden.



The following is a summary of U.S. Iron Ore results for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

							(	In Millions)					
								Chang	es du	ue to:			
	Si		En 0,	ded June		evenue		0.1		Idle t/production	ght and		<b>-</b>
		2014		2013	а	nd cost rate		Sales volume		volume /ariance	nburse- nent	c	Total change
Revenues from product sales and services	\$	875.9	\$	1,111.8	\$	(42.6)	\$	(186.1)	\$	_	\$ (7.2)	\$	(235.9
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses		(633.7)		(738.2)		(40.2)		109.8		27.7	7.2		104.5
Sales margin	\$	242.2	\$	373.6	\$	(82.8)	\$	(76.3)	\$	27.7	\$ _	\$	(131.4)
	Si		En 0,	ded June				Percent					
Per Ton Information		2014		2013	Di	fference		change					
Realized product revenue rate <sup>1</sup>	\$	107.68	\$	113.63	\$	(5.95)		(5.2)%					
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate <sup>1</sup> (excluding DDA)		66.21		64.98		1.23		1.9 %					
Depreciation, depletion & amortization		7.71		6.24		1.47		23.6 %					
Total cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate		73.92		71.22		2.70		3.8 %					
Sales margin	\$	33.76	\$	42.41	\$	(8.65)	_	(20.4)%					
Calos margin	Ψ	00.10	Ψ	14.41	Ψ	(0.00)	-	(20.7)/0					
Sales tons <sup>2</sup> (In thousands)		7,174		8,810									
Production tons <sup>2</sup> (In thousands)													
Total		13,734		12,983									
Cliffs' share of total		10,442		9,601									

<sup>1</sup> Excludes revenues and expenses related to domestic freight, which are offsetting and have no impact on sales margin. Revenues also exclude venture partner cost reimbursements.

<sup>2</sup> Tons are long tons (2,240 pounds).

Sales margin for U.S. Iron Ore was \$242.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with sales margin of \$373.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The decline compared to the prior-year period is attributable to a decrease in revenue of \$235.9 million partially offset by a decrease in cost of goods sold and operating expenses of \$104.5 million. Sales margin per ton decreased 20.4 percent to \$33.76 in the first half of 2014 compared to the first half of 2013.

Revenue decreased by \$228.7 million, excluding the decrease of \$7.2 million of freight and reimbursements from the prior-year period, predominantly due to:

- Lower sales volumes of 1,636 thousand tons or \$186.1 million:
  - Primarily driven by reduced vessel shipment availability due to the freeze on the Great Lakes, which ended the 2013 shipping season early and delayed the start of the 2014 shipping season, which reduced sales between years on customer contracts and limited additional sales with customers with increased nominations in 2014. This was partially offset by higher demand from a customer due to the Great Lakes freeze preventing the customer from reaching its self-produced ore;
  - Decreased export sales due to increased 2014 Great Lakes nominations. Additionally, an export contract for one customer was transferred from Wabush in the prior-year period and did not recur in the three months ended June 30, 2014 due to expiration of the contract at the end of 2013; and

- A spot sale that occurred in the prior-year period not recurring in the three months ended June 30, 2014, along with reduced demand from a certain customer primarily as a result of weather.
- The average year-to-date realized product revenue rate declined by \$5.95 per ton or 5.2 percent to \$107.68 per ton in first half of 2014, which resulted in a decrease of \$42.6 million. This decline is a result of:
  - Realized revenue rates impacted negatively by \$4 per ton related to one major customer contract with a reduced average selling price due to the contractual change in the 2014 pricing mechanism;
  - Changes in customer pricing reducing the realized revenue rate by \$2 per ton driven primarily by the period-over-period reduction in market pricing, which is a key component of many of our pricing mechanisms, along with unfavorable provisional pricing settlements;
  - Unfavorable impact to realized revenue rates of \$1 per ton related to one customer due to an arbitration ruling in the second quarter of 2014, which resulted in an adjustment of pricing for pellets beginning in 2013; and
  - Partially offset by a favorable customer mix impacting the realized revenue rates by \$2 per ton primarily attributable to increased sales tonnage from a customer contract with a favorable rate and decreased sales tonnage from less favorable rate contracts.

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses in the first half of 2014 decreased \$97.3 million, excluding the decrease of \$7.2 million of freight and reimbursements from the same period in the prior-year period, predominantly as a result of:

- Lower sales volumes as discussed above that decreased costs by \$109.8 million compared to the prior-year period and by lower idle costs of \$27.7 million due to restarting the two production lines at our Northshore mine during the first quarter of 2014 that were previously idled in January 2013 and the non-recurrence of the 2013 summer shutdown of Empire mine in 2014; and
- Partially offset by increased costs related to increased mobile equipment repairs and increased maintenance and repair costs primarily driven by increased kiln repairs at Empire in 2014 due to the 2016 life-of-mine extension, conveyor belt repairs at United Taconite mine and Northshore mine, mill repair at Hibbing mine and a unit repair at Tilden, along with higher costs related to increased energy rates.

#### Production

Cliffs' share of production in its U.S. Iron Ore segment increased by 8.8 percent in the first half of 2014 when compared to the comparable period in 2013. The increased production is primarily attributable to our Empire mine, which increased production by 1.1 million tons as a result of the summer shutdown that occurred in 2013, beginning early in the second quarter and ending in the third quarter. Additionally, there was an increase in production of 439 thousand tons at Northshore mine during the first half of 2014, as we restarted the two idled furnaces in the first quarter of 2014. We expect restarting the two furnaces will increase production by 1.2 million tons in 2014. We had previously idled two of the four furnaces at Northshore mine in January 2013. These increases were partially offset by decreased production of 327 thousand tons at our United Taconite mine due to extreme weather and unplanned maintenance outages, as well as our Tilden mine, which decreased production by 326 thousand tons due to a unit repair occurring in early second quarter of 2014 versus early third quarter in 2013 and unplanned outages.

•

# Eastern Canadian Iron Ore

The following is a summary of Eastern Canadian Iron Ore results for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

					(In	Millions)						
						Change	e du	e to:				
	T	hree Mor Jun	 	Revenue and cost		Sales	١	Wabush	E	xchange		Total
		2014	2013	rate		volume		Idle <sup>2</sup>		rate	С	hange
Revenues from product sales and services	\$	174.0	\$ 213.9	\$ (30.5)	\$	54.2	\$	(63.6)	\$	_	\$	(39.9)
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses		(212.5)	(263.6)	(12.6)		(42.5)		95.0		11.2		51.1
Sales margin	\$	(38.5)	\$ (49.7)	\$ (43.1)	\$	11.7	\$	31.4	\$	11.2	\$	11.2

	۱ 	hree Mor Jun	 			
Per Ton Information		2014	2013	[	Difference	Percent change
Realized product revenue rate	\$	87.48	\$ 110.66	\$	(23.18)	(20.9)%
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate (excluding DDA)		87.48	114.43		(26.95)	(23.6)%
Depreciation, depletion & amortization		19.36	21.93		(2.57)	(11.7)%
Total cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate		106.84	136.36		(29.52)	(21.6)%
Sales margin	\$	(19.36)	\$ (25.70)	\$	6.34	n/m
Sales tons <sup>1</sup> (In thousands)		1,989	1,933			
Production tons <sup>1</sup> (In thousands)		1,560	2,111			
<sup>1</sup> Tons are metric tons (2,205	poun	ıds).				

<sup>2</sup>As a result of the Wabush mine idle, all revenue and cost activity related to the Wabush mine has been quantified in the Wabush Idle column of the chart above.

We reported a sales margin loss for our Eastern Canadian Iron Ore segment of \$38.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared with a sales margin loss of \$49.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013. Sales margin loss per ton decreased to a loss of \$19.36 per ton in the second quarter of 2014 compared to a sales margin loss of \$25.70 per ton in the second quarter of 2013.

Revenue decreased by \$39.9 million for three months ended June 30, 2014, when compared to the prior-year period, primarily due to:

- A reduction in revenue of \$63.6 million due to the idling of the Wabush mine pellet plant in June 2013 and idling of the Wabush Scully mine in Newfoundland and Labrador at the end of March 2014; and
- An overall decrease to the Bloom Lake mine average realized revenue rate, which resulted in a decrease of \$30.5 million, primarily as a result of:
  - A decrease to the Platts 62 percent Fe spot rate to an average of \$103 per ton from \$126 per ton in the prior-year period, resulting in a
    decrease of \$23 per ton;
  - Unfavorable freight charges negatively impacting the period-over-period average by \$1 per ton mainly due to a 14 percent increase in the Brazil to China benchmark freight rate offsetting the benefit of large vessel sized shipments in the second quarter of 2014; and
  - Partially offset by the benefit of \$8 per ton related to Japanese lag pricing and other timing benefits and \$1 per ton primarily related to lower discounts due to pricing.



- Partially offset by higher sales volumes at Bloom Lake mine of 528 thousand tons resulting in an increase to revenue of \$54.2 million, which is
  primarily related to:
  - The timing of one large shipment that was delayed from March 2014 into the second quarter as a result of vessel logistics caused by adverse weather conditions;
  - Higher contracted shipments to Japan and increased trial shipments to customers, along with higher period-over-period production; and
  - Partially offset by reduced spot shipments from Bloom Lake mine to China.

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses during the three months ended June 30, 2014 decreased from the same period in 2013 by \$51.1 million primarily due to:

- Lower costs of \$95.0 million due to the idling the Wabush mine pellet plant in June 2013 and idling of the Wabush Scully mine in Newfoundland and Labrador at the end of March 2014;
- · Favorable foreign exchange rate variances of \$11.2 million; and
- Partially offset by:
  - Higher sales volumes at the Bloom Lake facilities as discussed above resulting in increased costs of \$42.5 million compared to the prior-year period; and
  - Increased costs of \$15.0 million due to Bloom Lake mine's west pit development activity no longer being capitalized as of the fourth quarter of 2013 as certain production levels were reached. This is partially mitigated by reduced spending of \$5.0 million as a result of various cost reduction efforts, primarily focused on reducing spending on external services.



The following is a summary of Eastern Canadian Iron Ore results for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

								(In Mi	llioi	ns)						
								C	har	ige due t	:0					
	5	Six Mont Jun	hs En e 30,	ded		levenue Ind cost	5	Sales	W	/abush	Inv	ventory	Ex	change		Total
		2014	20	13		rate	v	olume		Idle <sup>2</sup>	wri	te-down		rate	C	change
Revenues from product sales and services	\$	332.3	\$ 4	59.2	\$	(71.0)	\$	36.9	\$	(92.8)	\$	_	\$	_	\$	(126.9)
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses		(420.5)	(48	89.5)		(18.7)	(	30.4)		104.1		(8.7)		22.7		69.0
Sales margin	\$	(88.2)	\$ (	30.3)	\$	(89.7)	\$	6.5	\$	11.3	\$	(8.7)	\$	22.7	\$	(57.9)
Den Ten 1-6-mertion	_		e 30,				-	ercent								
Per Ton Information		2014	20	13	D	fference	C	nange								
Realized product revenue rate	\$	92.38	\$ 12 <sup>-</sup>	1.10	\$	(28.72)	(	23.7)%								
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate (excluding DDA)		94.75	10	7.07		(12.32)	(	11.5)%								
Depreciation, depletion & amortization		22.16	2:	2.02		0.14		0.6 %								
Total cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate		116.91	129	9.09		(12.18)		(9.4)%								
Sales margin	\$	(24.53)	\$ (	7.99)	\$	(16.54)		n/m								
Sales tons <sup>1</sup> (In thousands)		3,597	3,	792												
Production tons <sup>1</sup> (In thousands)		3,312	4,	,130												

<sup>1</sup> Tons are metric tons (2,205 pounds).

<sup>2</sup> As a result of the Wabush mine idle, all revenue and cost activity related to the Wabush mine has been quantified in the Wabush Idle column of the chart above.

We reported a sales margin loss for our Eastern Canadian Iron Ore segment of \$88.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with a sales margin loss of \$30.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013. Sales margin loss per ton increased to a loss of \$24.53 per ton in the first half of 2014 compared to a sales margin loss of \$7.99 per ton in the first half 2013.

Revenue decreased by \$126.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, when compared to the prior-year period, primarily due to:

- A reduction in revenue of \$92.8 million due to the idling of the Wabush mine pellet plant in June 2013 and idling of the Wabush Scully mine in Newfoundland and Labrador at the end of March 2014; and
- An overall decrease to the Bloom Lake mine average realized revenue rate, which resulted in a decrease of \$71.0 million, primarily as a result of:
  - A decrease to the Platts 62 percent Fe spot rate to an average of \$112 per ton from \$137 per ton in the prior-year period resulted in a decrease of \$24 per ton;
  - Provisional pricing settlements in the prior-year period that were favorable caused a negative impact of \$3 per ton period-overperiod;
  - Unfavorable freight charges negatively impacted the period-over-period average revenue rate by \$3 per ton primarily due to a 20 percent increase in the Brazil to China benchmark freight rate in the first half of 2014 partially offset by larger vessel sizes on some shipments; and



- Partially mitigated by the benefit of \$7 per ton due to contract timing related to Japanese lag pricing and tons sold in the first quarter of 2014 to China customers based on December 2013 laydays.
- Partially offset by higher sales volumes at Bloom Lake mine of 320 thousand tons resulting in an increase to revenue of \$36.9 million, which is
  primarily related to increased Bloom Lake mine production of 235 thousand tons and the timing of customer shipments that were delayed from
  the end of 2013 into 2014 as a result of adverse weather conditions.

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses during the six months ended June 30, 2014 decreased from the same period in 2013 by \$69.0 million primarily due to:

- Lower costs of \$104.1 million due to the idling the Wabush mine pellet plant in June 2013 and idling of the Wabush Scully mine in Newfoundland and Labrador at the end of March 2014;
- · Favorable foreign exchange rate variances of \$22.7 million; and
- Partially offset by:
  - Higher sales volumes at the Bloom Lake facilities as discussed above resulting in increased costs of \$30.4 million compared to the prior-year period;
  - Increased costs of \$26.0 million due to Bloom Lake mine's west pit development activity no longer being capitalized as of the fourth quarter of 2013 as certain production levels were reached. This is partially mitigated by reduced spending of \$17.0 million as a result of various cost reduction efforts, primarily focused on reducing spending on external services and leases;
  - Unfavorable foreign exchange contract hedging impacts of \$8.3 million period over period; and
  - An unfavorable variance of \$8.7 million in lower-of-cost-or-market inventory charges at our Bloom Lake operations due to the lower-of-cost-or-market charges in the first quarter of 2014 primarily attributable to market declines in Platts spot rate pricing as well as higher cost of inventory driven by the timing of maintenance activities and mine development. Bloom Lake mine did not have lower-of-cost-or-market inventory charges in the prior-year period.

# Production

The Bloom Lake facility produced 3.0 million and 2.8 million tons of iron ore concentrate during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. During the first quarter of 2014, we announced that we are exploring various strategic alternatives for our Bloom Lake mine. In the short term, we will continue to operate Bloom Lake mine Phase I operations on a reduced tailings and water management capital plan. We will continue to evaluate and will idle temporarily the operations if the pricing and operating costs justify such an alternative action. The Phase II expansion project remains on hold.

Production at the Wabush facility was 0.3 million tons of iron ore concentrate and 1.2 million tons of iron ore pellets during the six-month period ending June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Due to high production costs and lower pellet premium pricing, we idled production at the Wabush mine pellet plant and transitioned to producing an iron ore concentrate product from our Wabush mine during June 2013. At the end of March 2014, we idled our Wabush Scully mine in Newfoundland and Labrador. The idle was driven by the unsustainable high cost structure, which results in operations that were not considered economically viable to run over time.

# Asia Pacific Iron Ore

The following is a summary of Asia Pacific Iron Ore results for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

			(In Milli	ons	)			
			(	Char	nge due te	o:		
	Three Mon June	 	evenue nd cost		Sales	Ex	change	Total
	2014	2013	rate		/olume		rate	hange
Revenues from product sales and services	\$ 233.1	\$ 327.0	\$ (76.2)	\$	(9.6)	\$	(8.1)	\$ (93.9)
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses	(197.1)	(232.0)	21.0		7.0		6.9	34.9
Sales margin	\$ 36.0	\$ 95.0	\$ (55.2)	\$	(2.6)	\$	(1.2)	\$ (59.0)

	1	Three Moi Jun	nths e 30				
Per Ton Information		2014		2013	Dif	ference	Percent change
Realized product revenue rate	\$	80.38	\$	109.36	\$	(28.98)	(26.5)%
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate (excluding DDA)		53.38		63.65		(10.27)	(16.1)%
Depreciation, depletion & amortization		14.59		13.95		0.64	4.6 %
Total cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate		67.97		77.60		(9.63)	(12.4)%
Sales margin	\$	12.41	\$	31.76	\$	(19.35)	(60.9)%
		2 000		0.000			
Sales tons <sup>1</sup> (In thousands)		2,900		2,990			
Production tons <sup>1</sup> (In thousands)		2,731		2,916			

Sales margin for Asia Pacific Iron Ore decreased to \$36.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2014 compared with \$95.0 million for the same period in 2013 and sales margin per ton decreased 60.9 percent to \$12.41 per ton in the second quarter of 2014 compared to the second quarter of 2013 primarily as a result of decreased pricing as discussed below.

Revenue decreased \$93.9 million in the second quarter of 2014 over the prior-year period primarily as a result of:

- The lower realized product revenue rate for the three months ended June 30, 2014 that resulted in a decrease of \$76.2 million or 26.5 percent on a per-ton basis. This decrease is a result of:
  - The Platts 62 percent Fe spot rate decreased to an average of \$103 per ton from \$126 per ton during the comparable period of the
    prior year, which negatively impacted the revenue rate resulting in a decrease of \$22 per ton to our realized revenue rate;
  - Unfavorable timing impacts of \$8.1 million or \$3 per ton primarily due to more contracts priced at discharge being affected by a falling Platts 62 percent Fe spot rate throughout the quarter. A higher percentage of contracts are being priced at discharge instead of loading in 2014 when compared to 2013. This impact is partially offset by the lag pricing features on contracts with some customers;
  - Unfavorable foreign exchange contract hedging impacts of \$6.3 million or \$2 per ton period over period; and
  - Unfavorable period-over-period freight charges, which reduced the realized revenue rate by \$6.0 million or \$2 per ton.



• Lower sales volume during the three months ended June 30, 2014 due to timing of shipments to 2.9 million tons compared with 3.0 million tons in the comparable period in 2013, resulting in a decrease in revenue of \$9.6 million.

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses in the three months ended June 30, 2014 decreased \$34.9 million compared to the comparable period in 2013 primarily as a result of:

- Lower mining costs of \$10.9 million mainly due to lower mining contractor costs mainly resulting from a focus on efficiencies across the
  operation and lower logistics costs of \$3.4 million primarily attributable to the finalization of the port dispute partially offset by higher volumes
  railed;
- · Favorable foreign exchange rate variances of \$6.9 million or \$2 per ton; and
- Lower sales volumes, as discussed above, that resulted in decreased costs of \$7.0 million compared to the same period in the prior year.

The following is a summary of Asia Pacific Iron Ore results for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

				(In Mil	lior	ns)				
				(	Cha	ange due t	o:			
	 Six Mont Jun	 		Revenue and cost		Sales	E	kchange		Total
	 2014	2013	rate			volume	_,	rate	(	change
Revenues from product sales and services	\$ 487.3	\$ 597.8	\$	(128.5)	\$	27.4	\$	(9.4)	\$	(110.5)
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses	(385.0)	(441.5)		34.9		(20.5)		42.1		56.5
Sales margin	\$ 102.3	\$ 156.3	\$	(93.6)	\$	6.9	\$	32.7	\$	(54.0)

	Six Mont Jun	 			
Per Ton Information	2014	2013	Diff	ference	Percent change
Realized product revenue rate	\$ 87.94	\$ 112.90	\$	(24.96)	(22.1)%
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate (excluding DDA)	54.79	68.63		(13.84)	(20.2)%
Depreciation, depletion & amortization	14.69	14.75		(0.06)	(0.4)%
Total cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate	 69.48	83.38		(13.90)	(16.7)%
Sales margin	\$ 18.46	\$ 29.52	\$	(11.06)	(37.5)%
Sales tons <sup>1</sup> (In thousands)	5,541	5,295			
Production tons <sup>1</sup> (In thousands)	5,521	5,588			
<sup>1</sup> Metric tons (2,205 pounds).					

Sales margin for Asia Pacific Iron Ore decreased to \$102.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with \$156.3 million for the same period in 2013 and sales margin per ton decreased 37.5 percent to \$18.46 per ton in the first half of 2014 compared to the first half of 2013 primarily as a result of decreased pricing as discussed below.

Revenue decreased \$110.5 million in the first half of 2014 over the prior-year period primarily as a result of:

- The lower realized product revenue rate for the six months ended June 30, 2014 that resulted in a decrease of \$128.5 million or 22.1 percent on a per-ton basis. This decrease is a result of:
  - The Platts 62 percent Fe spot rate decreased to an average of \$112 per ton from \$137 per ton during the comparable first half of the prior year, which negatively impacted the revenue rate resulting in a decrease of \$24 per ton to our realized revenue rate, and partially offset by timing impacts of \$8.6 million or \$2 per ton due to the lag pricing features on contracts with some customers;

- Unfavorable foreign exchange contract hedging impacts of \$17.2 million or \$3 per ton period over period;
- Unfavorable period-over-period freight charges which reduced the realized revenue rate by \$15.0 million or \$3 per ton; and
- Offset partially by an increase to our realized revenue rate due to higher lump premiums in the first half of 2014 compared to the same period in 2013 resulting in an increase to realized product revenue rate of \$12.4 million or \$2 per ton.
- These decreases were partially offset by the higher sales volume of 5.5 million tons during the six months ended June 30, 2014 compared with 5.3 million tons during the prior-year period due to timing of rail deliveries and shipments, resulting in an increase in revenue of \$27.4 million.

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses in the six months ended June 30, 2014 decreased \$56.5 million compared to the comparable period in 2013 primarily as a result of:

- Lower mining costs of \$29.7 million mainly due to lower mining contractor costs mainly resulting from a focus on efficiencies across the operation and lower logistics costs of \$9.0 million primarily attributable to the finalization of the port dispute;
- Favorable foreign exchange rate variances of \$42.1 million or \$8 per ton; and
- These decreases were offset partially by higher sales volumes, as discussed above, that resulted in increased costs of \$20.5 million compared to the same period in the prior year.

#### Production

Production at Asia Pacific Iron Ore during the six months ended June 30, 2014 remained consistent when compared to the same period in 2013 with a slight decrease of 67 thousand production tons or 1.2 percent. However, production during the three months ended June 30, 2014 was 6.3 percent lower than production in the comparable prior-year quarter. The decrease in production tons compared to the same prior-year period is mainly attributable to a planned major shutdown in April 2014.



# North American Coal

The following is a summary of North American Coal results for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

Thurse Mandha Fuded

					(In	Millions)					
						Change	e due	e to:			
	Т	hree Mor Jun		evenue nd cost		Sales	Inv	ventory	reight and eimburse-		Total
		2014	2013	rate	,	volume	wri	te-down	ment	С	hange
Revenues from product sales and services	\$	179.1	\$ 245.9	\$ (65.3)	\$	(5.3)	\$	_	\$ 3.8	\$	(66.8)
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses		(231.8)	(239.3)	20.5		5.1		(14.3)	(3.8)		7.5
Sales margin	\$	(52.7)	\$ 6.6	\$ (44.8)	\$	(0.2)	\$	(14.3)	\$ —	\$	(59.3)

Т							
	2014		2013	Di	fference	Percent change	
\$	72.84	\$	104.89	\$	(32.05)	(30.6)%	
	83.01		88.12		(5.11)	(5.8)%	
	15.72		13.61		2.11	15.5 %	
	98.73		101.73		(3.00)	(2.9)%	
\$	(25.89)	\$	3.16	\$	(29.05)	n/m	
	2,036		2,087				
	1,881		1,729				
	_	Jun 2014 \$ 72.84 83.01 15.72 98.73 \$ (25.89) 2,036	June 3 2014 \$ 72.84 \$ 83.01 15.72 98.73 \$ (25.89) \$ 2,036	June 30,           2014         2013           \$ 72.84         \$ 104.89           83.01         88.12           15.72         13.61           98.73         101.73           \$ (25.89)         \$ 3.16           2,036         2,087	June 30,           2014         2013         Di           \$ 72.84         \$ 104.89         \$           83.01         88.12         \$           15.72         13.61         \$           98.73         101.73         \$           \$ (25.89)         \$ 3.16         \$           2,036         2,087         \$	June 30,         Difference           2014         2013         Difference           \$ 72.84         \$ 104.89         \$ (32.05)           83.01         88.12         (5.11)           15.72         13.61         2.11           98.73         101.73         (3.00)           \$ (25.89)         \$ 3.16         \$ (29.05)	2014         2013         Difference         Percent change           \$ 72.84         \$ 104.89         \$ (32.05)         (30.6)%           83.01         88.12         (5.11)         (5.8)%           15.72         13.61         2.11         15.5 %           98.73         101.73         (3.00)         (2.9)%           \$ (25.89)         \$ 3.16         \$ (29.05)         n/m

<sup>2</sup> Tons are short tons (2,000 pounds).

Sales margin for North American Coal decreased to a loss of \$52.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2014, compared to a sales margin of \$6.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013. Sales margin per ton decreased to a loss of \$25.89 per ton in the second quarter of 2014 compared to a sales margin of \$3.16 per ton in the second guarter of 2013.

Revenues from product sales and services were \$179.1 million, which is a decrease of \$70.6 million over the prior-year period, excluding the increase of \$3.8 million of freight and reimbursements, predominantly due to:

- Lower realized product revenue rate for the three months ended June 30, 2014 resulted in a decrease of \$65.3 million or 30.6 percent on a perton basis. This decline is a result of:
  - The downward trend in market pricing period over period, including a decrease of \$52 per ton in the guarterly benchmark price. Additionally, there was an unfavorable impact from carryover contracts due to more carryover tons in the prior-year period in comparison to the second quarter of 2014, which was slightly mitigated by a favorable impact from annually priced contracts in the current year; and
  - An unfavorable change in product mix negatively impacting the realized revenue rate by \$10 per ton primarily attributable to export tons to China in the second quarter of 2014 that did not occur in the same period of 2013, lower domestic sales of high-volatile and lowvolatile metallurgical coal and increased thermal coal sales, which is unfavorable to the overall realized revenue rate.
- Sales volume decreases of 51 thousand tons or 2 percent during the second quarter of 2014 in comparison to the prior-year period resulting in a decrease in revenue of \$5.3 million, primarily due to:



 Lower sales of high-volatile metallurgical coal as a result of a non-renewal of a customer contract and rail service issues. Lower sales of low-volatile metallurgical coal due to lower non-China export tons, reduced tons to blenders and lower nominations with domestic customers were partially offset by increased low-volatile metallurgical coal tons exported to China and by higher thermal coal sales due to a new contract.

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses in the second quarter of 2014 decreased \$11.3 million, excluding the increase of \$3.8 million of freight and reimbursements from the comparable period in the prior year, predominantly as a result of:

- The impact of inventory adjustments in the first quarter of 2014 resulted in lower costs of \$21.0 million as inventory was sold throughout second quarter of 2014;
- Lower sales volume attributable to reduced low- and high-volatile metallurgical coal sales, as discussed above, resulting in cost reductions of \$5.1 million; and
- Partially offset by an unfavorable variance in the lower-of-cost-or-market inventory charge of \$14.3 million in comparison to the same prior-year period as the lower-of-cost-or-market inventory charges for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 were \$15.0 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. This charge was driven by the downward trend in market pricing.

The following is a summary of North American Coal results for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

							(In	Millions)					
								Change	e due	to:			
	Si	x Months 3	Enc 0,	led June		Revenue and cost		Sales	In	/entory	eight and imburse-		Total
		2014		2013		rate	,	volume	wri	e-down	ment	C	change
Revenues from product sales and services	\$	345.3	\$	460.2	\$	(99.7)	\$	(28.6)	\$	_	\$ 13.4	\$	(114.9)
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses		(446.4)		(451.8)		25.1		28.1		(34.4)	(13.4)		5.4
Sales margin	\$	(101.1)	\$	8.4	\$	(74.6)	\$	(0.5)	\$	(34.4)	\$ _	\$	(109.5)

	Si	x Months 3	Enc 0,	ded June				
Per Ton Information		2014		2013	D	ifference	Percent change	
Realized product revenue rate <sup>1</sup>	\$	79.68	\$	107.38	\$	(27.70)	(25.8)%	
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate <sup>1</sup> (excluding DDA)		90.55		89.49		1.06	1.2 %	
Depreciation, depletion & amortization		17.16		15.72		1.44	9.2 %	
Total cost of goods sold and operating expenses rate		107.71		105.21		2.50	2.4 %	
Sales margin	\$	(28.03)	\$	2.17	\$	(30.20)	n/m	
Sales tons <sup>2</sup> (In thousands)		3,607		3,874				
Production tons <sup>2</sup> (In thousands)		3,586		3,459				

<sup>1</sup>Excludes revenues and expenses related to domestic freight, which are offsetting and have no impact on sales margin.

<sup>2</sup> Tons are short tons (2,000 pounds).

Sales margin for North American Coal decreased to a loss of \$101.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to a sales margin of \$8.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013. Sales margin per ton decreased to a loss of \$28.03 per ton in the first half of 2014 compared to a sales margin of \$2.17 per ton in the first half of 2013.

Revenues from product sales and services were \$345.3 million, which is a decrease of \$128.3 million over the prior-year period, excluding the increase of \$13.4 million of freight and reimbursements, predominantly due to:

- Lower realized product revenue rate for the six months ended June 30, 2014 resulted in a decrease of \$99.7 million or 25.8 percent on a perton basis. This decline is a result of:
  - The downward trend in market pricing period over period, including a decrease of \$37 per ton in the six-month average benchmark price, along with a more favorable impact in 2013 from carryover contracts, partially mitigated by annually priced contracts.
  - An unfavorable change in product mix negatively impacting the realized revenue rate by \$7 per ton primarily attributable to export tons to China in the first half of 2014 that did not occur in the same period of 2013, lower domestic sales of low-volatile metallurgical coal and increased thermal coal sales, which is unfavorable to the overall realized revenue rate.
- Sales volume decreases of 267 thousand tons or 6.9 percent during the first half of 2014 in comparison to the prior-year period resulting in a decrease in revenue of \$28.6 million, primarily due to:
  - Lower sales of high-volatile metallurgical coal as a result of a non-renewal of a customer contract and rail service issues. Lower sales of
    low-volatile metallurgical coal due to lower nominations with domestic customers and blenders, partially offset by higher export sales to
    China of low-volatile coal and higher thermal coal sales due to a new contract.

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses in the first half of 2014 decreased \$18.8 million, excluding the increase of \$13.4 million of freight and reimbursements from the comparable period in the prior year, predominantly as a result of:

- Lower sales volume attributable to reduced low- and high-volatile metallurgical coal sales, as discussed above, resulting in cost reductions of \$28.1 million;
- Decreased spending of \$15.0 million on production costs due to increased focus on reducing external services, energy and staff cost spending
  and decreased costs related to royalties and severance taxes of \$9.0 million due to reduced year-over-year revenue rate; and
- Partially offset by an unfavorable variance in the lower-of-cost-or-market inventory charge of \$34.4 million in comparison to the same prior-year period as the lower-of-cost-or-market inventory charges at June 30, 2014 and 2013 were \$37.1 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. This charge was driven by the downward trend in market pricing.

#### Production

Production of low- and high-volatile metallurgical coal in the first half of 2014 was in line with the prior-year period. Due to increased demand for thermal coal in 2014, we increased production at our thermal coal mine from one shift to two shifts in the first quarter of 2014 to align production with 2014 customer demand, which resulted in an increase of 44.0 percent in the first half of 2014 compared to the same prior-year period. On June 25, 2014, we issued a WARN Act notice to all employees of Pinnacle, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, the United Mine Workers of America and state and local government agencies, that we intend to temporarily idle our operations at the Pinnacle mine as a result of current poor market conditions for metallurgical coal. The WARN Act notice began the 60-day notice period that must be given prior to temporarily idling operations under the WARN Act. If market conditions do not improve, it is expected that the idling of the Pinnacle mine could last more than six months beginning on or about August 25, 2014. Pinnacle mine had production of 1,409 tons and 2,819 tons, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and during the year ended December 31, 2013.

## Liquidity, Cash Flows and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash generated from our operating and financing activities. Our capital allocation process is focused on prioritizing all potential uses of future cash flows to maximize shareholder returns. We continue to focus on maximizing shareholder return and cash generation in our business operations as well as reductions of any discretionary expenditures in order to ensure we are positioned to face the challenges and uncertainties of the volatile pricing markets for our products.

Based on current mine plans and subject to future iron ore and coal prices and supply and demand, we expect our budgeted capital expenditures, dividends and other cash requirements during 2014 to slightly exceed our estimated operating cash flows. Although we expect our cash flows from operating activities to be slightly exceeded by our capital expenditures and dividends during 2014, we maintain adequate liquidity via financing arrangements to fund our normal business operations and strategic initiatives through our \$1.75 billion revolving credit agreement. Based on current market conditions, we expect to be able to fund our requirements for at least the next 12 months.

Refer to "Outlook" for additional guidance regarding expected future results, including projections on pricing, sales volume and production for our various businesses.

The following discussion summarizes the significant activities impacting our cash flows during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 as well as those expected to impact our future cash flows over the next 12 months. Refer to the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Cash Flows for additional information.

#### **Operating Activities**

Net cash used by operating activities was \$123.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$388.9 million for the same period in 2013. Decreased operating cash flows in the first half of 2014 were primarily due to lower operating results as previously discussed, in addition to unfavorable working capital changes primarily driven by an inventory build as a result of adverse weather delaying shipments for our U.S. Iron Ore business.

Our long-term outlook remains stable, although we have and plan to continue to respond to the uncertain near-term outlook by adjusting our operating strategy as market conditions change. Throughout the first half of 2014, capacity utilization among steelmaking facilities in North America remained steady. We expect economic growth in the U.S. to accelerate through the second half of 2014, which is expected to be supported by continued improvement in the labor market and other key indicators. Domestic steel production and the corresponding demand for steelmaking raw materials are expected to be supported by both healthy construction activity and motor vehicle production. We expect China's economy will continue to expand while, correspondingly, increased Chinese domestic steel production will continue to require imported steelmaking raw materials to satisfy demand. We are monitoring continually the economic environment in which we operate in order to react to fluctuations in pricing due to global economic growth or contraction, change in demand for steel or changes in availability of supply.

On February 11, 2014, we announced our plan to idle Wabush Scully mine in Newfoundland and Labrador and the Wabush Scully mine was idled during March 2014. The impact of the idling includes idling costs, employment-related expenditures and contract costs totaled \$63.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and we estimate approximately \$100 million in total to be incurred throughout 2014.

Coupled with efficient tax structures, our U.S. operations and our financing arrangements provide sufficient capital resources to support operations and do not require us to repatriate earnings from our foreign operations; however, if we repatriated these earnings, we would be subject to income tax. Our U.S. cash and cash equivalents balance at June 30, 2014 was \$164.5 million, or approximately 45.7 percent of our consolidated total cash and cash equivalents balance of \$359.9 million. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we had no restrictions on our borrowing capacity of our \$1.75 billion U.S.-based revolving credit facility. Furthermore, historically we have been able to raise additional capital through private financings and public debt and equity offerings, the bulk of which, to date, have been U.S.-based. If the demand from the U.S. and Asian economies weakens and pricing deteriorates for a prolonged period, we have the financial and operational flexibility to reduce production, delay capital expenditures, sell assets and reduce overhead costs to provide liquidity in the absence of cash flow from operations.

### **Investing Activities**

Net cash used by investing activities was \$148.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared with \$500.3 million for the comparable period in 2013.

We had capital expenditures of \$164.3 million and \$501.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Up until the first quarter of 2014, our main capital investment focus was on the construction of the Bloom Lake mine's operations. On February 11, 2014, we announced that we are indefinitely suspending Phase II expansion at our Bloom Lake mine. In the short term, we will continue to operate Bloom Lake mine Phase I operations on a reduced tailings and water management capital plan. We also announced that we would idle the Phase I operations if pricing significantly decreases for an extended period of time. On the expansion projects at Bloom Lake mine, we have spent approximately \$32.6 million during the first half of 2014, which predominately relates to work performed in 2013. This compares Bloom Lake mine ramp-up and expansion project work of approximately \$329 million during the first half of 2013.

Additionally, we spent approximately \$124 million and \$161 million globally on expenditures related to sustaining capital in the first six months of 2014 and 2013, respectively. Sustaining capital spend includes infrastructure, mobile equipment, environmental, safety, fixed equipment, product quality and health.

In alignment with our strategy to focus on allocating capital in a prudent balance among key priorities related to liquidity management, business investment and increasing long-term shareholder value, we anticipate total cash used for capital expenditures in 2014 to be approximately \$275 million to \$325 million. This includes approximately \$100 million of cash-payment carryover for 2013 incurred costs, with the remainder comprised of new sustaining and permission-to-operate capital expenditures, including a sustainable level to support our full-year production volume and cash cost expectations as well as safety and environmental obligations.

# Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities in the first six months of 2014 was \$290.7 million, compared to \$193.3 million for the comparable period in 2013. Net cash provided by financing activities increased year over year primarily as a result of an increase in net borrowings on our credit facilities of \$414.8 million during the first six months of 2014 compared to \$115.0 million during the comparable period in 2013. Net cash provided by financing activities were offset by dividend distributions of \$71.6 million and \$56.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Additionally, net cash provided by financing activities during the first six months of 2013 was impacted as we completed public offerings of 29.25 million depositary shares and 10.35 million common shares in which net proceeds from these offerings were \$709.4 million and \$285.3 million, respectively, after underwriting fees and discounts. A portion of the net proceeds from the share offerings were used to repay the \$847.1 million outstanding under the term loan.

# **Capital Resources**

We expect to fund our business obligations from available cash, current and future operations and existing borrowing arrangements. We also may pursue other funding strategies in the capital markets to strengthen our liquidity. The following represents a summary of key liquidity measures as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	(In Mi	llions)	
	June 30, 2014	De	ecember 31, 2013
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 359.9	\$	335.5
Available revolving credit facility	\$ 1,750.0	\$	1,750.0
Revolving loans drawn	(275.0)		
Senior notes	2,900.0		2,900.0
Senior notes drawn	(2,900.0)		(2,900.0)
Letter of credit obligations and other commitments	(5.2)		(8.4)
Borrowing capacity available	\$ 1,469.8	\$	1,741.6

Our primary source of funding is a \$1.75 billion revolving credit facility, which matures on October 16, 2017. We also have cash generated by the business and cash on hand, which totaled \$359.9 million as of June 30, 2014. The combination of cash and availability under the credit facility gave us \$1.8 billion in liquidity entering the third quarter of 2014, which is expected to be used to fund operations, capital expenditures and finance strategic initiatives.



On June 30, 2014, we amended the Amended and Restated Multicurrency Credit Agreement among Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. and various lenders dated August 11, 2011 (as further amended by Amendment No. 1 as of October 16, 2012 and Amendment No. 2 as of February 8, 2013), or revolving credit agreement, to effect the following:

- Replacing the current maximum leverage covenant ratio of debt to earnings of less than 3.5 times with a maximum balance sheet leverage ratio of debt to capitalization of less than 45 percent.
- Resetting the minimum interest coverage ratio from 2.5 to 1.0 to the ratio of 3.5 to 1.0.
- Amending the definition of EBITDA to include certain cash charges related to the Company's Wabush mine and other cash restructuring charges and the definition of net worth to exclude up to \$1 billion in non-cash impairment charges.
- Modifying the covenants restricting certain investments and acquisitions, the incurrence of certain indebtedness and liens, and the amount of dividends that may be declared or paid and shares that may be repurchased.

The new amended revolving credit agreement terms are effective June 30, 2014, and remain in effect for the life of the revolving credit agreement. This amended revolving credit agreement allows our borrowing capacity to be less susceptible to the impact of volatile iron ore and metallurgical coal pricing.

As of June 30, 2014, we were in compliance with these financial covenants related to the revolving credit agreement. Additionally, as of December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with all applicable financial covenants related to the revolving credit agreement.

We believe that the revolving credit agreement provides us sufficient liquidity to support our operating and investing activities. We continue to focus on achieving a capital structure that achieves the optimal mix of debt, equity and other prudent financing arrangements.

Several credit markets may provide additional capacity should that become necessary. The bank market may provide funding through a term loan, bridge loan, credit facility or through exercising the \$250 million accordion in our current revolving credit agreement. Additionally, we have access to the bond market as a source of capital. The risk associated with these credit markets is a significant increase in borrowing costs as a result of limited capacity and market conditions.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

In the normal course of business, we are a party to certain arrangements that are not reflected on our Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position. These arrangements include minimum "take or pay" purchase commitments, such as minimum electric power demand charges, minimum coal, diesel and natural gas purchase commitments, minimum railroad transportation commitments and minimum port facility usage commitments; financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk, such as bank letters of credit and bank guarantees; and operating leases, which primarily relate to equipment and office space.

## Market Risks

We are subject to a variety of risks, including those caused by changes in commodity prices, foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. We have established policies and procedures to manage such risks; however, certain risks are beyond our control.

### **Pricing Risks**

## Commodity Price Risk

Our consolidated revenues include the sale of iron ore pellets, iron ore concentrate, iron ore lump, low-volatile metallurgical coal, high-volatile metallurgical coal and thermal coal. Our financial results can vary significantly as a result of fluctuations in the market prices of iron ore and coal. World market prices for these commodities have fluctuated historically and are affected by numerous factors beyond our control. The world market price that most commonly is utilized in our iron ore sales contracts is the Platts 62 percent Fe fines spot rate pricing, which can fluctuate widely due to numerous factors, such as global economic growth or contraction, change in demand for steel or changes in availability of supply.

#### Provisional Pricing Arrangements

Certain of our U.S. Iron Ore, Eastern Canadian Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customer supply agreements specify provisional price calculations, where the pricing mechanisms generally are based on market pricing, with the final revenue rate to be based on market inputs at a specified point in time in the future, per the terms of the supply agreements. The difference between the provisionally agreed-upon price and the estimated final revenue rate is characterized as a derivative and is required to be accounted for separately once the revenue has been recognized. The derivative instrument is adjusted to fair value through *Product revenues* each reporting period based upon current market data and forward-looking estimates provided by management until the final revenue rate is determined.

At June 30, 2014, we have recorded \$20.2 million as derivative liabilities included in *Other current liabilities* in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Position related to our estimate of final revenue rate with our U.S. Iron Ore and Asia Pacific Iron Ore customers. These amounts represent the difference between the provisional price agreed upon with our customers based on the supply agreement terms and our estimate of the final sales rate based on the price calculations established in the supply agreements. As a result, we recognized a net \$14.1 million decrease and a net \$20.2 million decrease in *Product revenues* in the Statements of Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 related to these arrangements.

#### **Customer Supply Agreements**

A certain supply agreement with one U.S. Iron Ore customer provides for supplemental revenue or refunds based on the customer's average annual steel pricing at the time the product is consumed in the customer's blast furnace. The supplemental pricing is characterized as a freestanding derivative, which is finalized based on a future price, and is adjusted to fair value as a revenue adjustment each reporting period until the pellets are consumed and the amounts are settled. The fair value of the instrument is determined using an income approach based on an estimate of the annual realized price of hot-rolled steel at the steelmaker's facilities.

At June 30, 2014, we had a derivative asset of \$33.0 million, representing the fair value of the pricing factors, based upon the amount of unconsumed tons and an estimated average hot-band steel price related to the period in which the tons are expected to be consumed in the customer's blast furnace at each respective steelmaking facility, subject to final pricing at a future date. This compares with a derivative asset of \$55.8 million as of December 31, 2013. We estimate that a \$75 change in the average hot-band steel price realized from the June 30, 2014 estimated price recorded would cause the fair value of the derivative instrument to increase or decrease by approximately \$22.9 million, thereby impacting our consolidated revenues by the same amount.

We are not entered into any hedging programs to mitigate the risk of adverse price fluctuations at June 30, 2014.

#### Volatile Energy and Fuel Costs

The volatile cost of energy is an important issue affecting our production costs, primarily in relation to our iron ore operations. Our consolidated U.S. Iron Ore mining ventures consumed approximately 9.7 million MMBtu's of natural gas at an average delivered price of \$8.40 per MMBtu and 14.6 million gallons of diesel fuel at an average delivered price of \$3.36 per gallon during the first half of 2014. Our consolidated Eastern Canadian Iron Ore mining ventures consumed approximately 4.7 million gallons of diesel fuel at an average delivered price of \$4.31 per gallon during the first half of 2014. Our CLCC operations consumed approximately 1.7 million gallons of diesel fuel at an average delivered price of \$3.57 per gallon during the first half of 2014. Consumption of diesel fuel by our Asia Pacific operations was approximately 7.5 million gallons at an average delivered price of \$3.31 per gallon for the same period.

In the ordinary course of business, there also will be likely increases in prices relative to electrical costs at our U.S. mine sites related specifically to our Tilden and Empire mines in Michigan because we exercised our right to purchase electrical supply in the deregulated market during 2013, which is based on the Midwestern Independent System Operator Day-Ahead price. Additionally, as the cost of producing electricity increases, energy companies regularly seek to reclaim those costs from the mine sites, which often results in tariff disputes.

Our strategy to address increasing energy rates includes improving efficiency in energy usage, identifying alternative providers and utilizing the lowest cost alternative fuels. At the present time, we have no specific plans to enter into hedging activity and do not plan to enter into any new forward contracts for natural gas or diesel fuel in the near term. We will continue to monitor relevant energy markets for risk mitigation opportunities and may make additional forward purchases or employ other hedging instruments in the future as warranted and deemed appropriate by management. Assuming we do not enter into further hedging activity in the near term, a 10 percent change in electrical, natural gas and diesel fuel prices would result in a change of approximately \$21.8 million in our annual fuel and energy cost based on expected consumption for the remainder of 2014.

# Valuation of Goodwill and Other Long-Lived Assets

We assign goodwill arising from acquired businesses to the reporting units that are expected to benefit from the synergies of the acquisition. Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level (operating segment or one level below an operating segment) on an annual basis as of October 1st and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. These events or circumstances could include a significant change in the business climate, legal factors, operating performance indicators, curtailment of project development activities, competition or sale or disposition of a significant portion of a reporting unit.

Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assignment of assets and liabilities to reporting units, assignment of goodwill to reporting units and determination of the fair value of each reporting unit. The fair value of each reporting unit is estimated using a discounted cash flow methodology, which considers forecasted cash flows discounted at an estimated weighted average cost of capital. Assessing the recoverability of our goodwill requires significant assumptions regarding the estimated future cash flows and other factors to determine the fair value of a reporting unit including, among other things, estimates related to long-term price expectations, expected results of anticipated exploration activities, foreign currency exchange rates, expected capital expenditures and working capital requirements expected at commencement of production, which are based upon our long-range plan and life of mine estimates. The assumptions used to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit may change from year to year based on operating results, current market conditions or changes to expectations of market trends and other factors. Changes in these assumptions could materially affect the determination of fair value for each reporting unit.

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment upon the occurrence of events or changes in circumstances that would indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable. Such indicators may include, among others: a significant decline in expected future cash flows; a sustained, significant decline in market pricing; a significant adverse change in legal or environmental factors or in the business climate; changes in estimates of our recoverable reserves; unanticipated competition; and slower growth or production rates. Any adverse change in these factors could have a significant impact on the recoverability of our long-lived assets and could have a material impact on our consolidated statements of operations and statement of financial position.

A comparison of each asset group's carrying value to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to result from the use of the assets, including cost of disposition, is used to determine if an asset is recoverable. Projected future cash flows reflect management's best estimates of economic and market conditions over the projected period, including growth rates in revenues and costs, estimates of future expected changes in operating margins and capital expenditures. If the carrying value of the asset group is higher than its undiscounted future cash flows, the asset group is measured at fair value and the difference is recorded as a reduction to the long-lived assets. We estimate fair value using a market approach, an income approach or a cost approach.

### Bloom Lake

For the purpose of testing the recoverability of our long-lived assets, we consider the Bloom Lake iron ore operation to be an asset group. During the first half of 2014 and throughout 2013, we experienced higher than expected production costs in the current operation of the Bloom Lake iron ore mine. Additionally, capital expenditure expectations to complete the Phase II expansion and required tailings and water management systems have surpassed original expectations. Both conditions have a negative impact on the profitability and cash flows of that business. Continuation of such trends, changes in forecasted long-term pricing and/or other economic assumptions (e.g., inflation rates and exchange rates) could impact our ability to recover the carrying value of our long-lived asset group, which was approximately \$4.9 billion at December 31, 2013. As of our latest analysis, which occurred as of December 31, 2013, any of the following deteriorations of our current assumptions could result in a material write-down to the long-lived assets of our Bloom Lake asset group:

- a decrease in long-term global benchmark pricing of approximately 15-20%;
- an increase in production costs of approximately 25-30%; or
- an increase in life-of-mine capital expenditures of approximately 120-125%.



### Wabush

As of June 30, 2014, the remaining net book value of the Wabush long-lived assets was \$174.1 million, consisting primarily of mobile equipment and, to a lesser extent, mineral reserves. These balances were determined based on appraised values derived from recent market transactions or data, adjusted for condition, grade and other factors. Based on current projections, we do not anticipate future adjustments to these net book values that would be material to our consolidated financial statements.

#### North American Coal

Our asset groups within the North American Coal segment are:

- CLCC Metallurgical mining operations
- Oak Grove mining operations
- Pinnacle mining operations
- CLCC Thermal coal mining operations

Since December 31, 2013, benchmark pricing (premium low-vol FOB Australia) has declined significantly and has had an impact on our realized revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and may impact the realized product revenue rate for future sales on the spot market as well as our annual customer contracts as they are finalized. We continuously monitor the effect these changes in market price have on the projected future cash flows for each of our identified asset groups.

Our most recent evaluation of the recoverability of the long-lived assets of each metallurgical coal asset groups, completed as of December 31, 2013, indicated that our projected future undiscounted cash flows exceeded the respective carrying values by a significant amount. Although current market pricing for metallurgical coal has had an adverse impact on our reported results of the North American Coal segment, the projected undiscounted future cash flows of the asset groups used to test for recoverability, are more significantly influenced by our forecasted long-term price trends, expected production costs and capital investments required, primarily due to the long-life of the mines. Continued adverse market conditions, including changes to the global and domestic supply balance, can result in a reduction to our long-term pricing assumption, which may result in a material impairment charge.

Our projected undiscounted future cash flows of the CLCC metallurgical mining operations indicate that, based on current carrying values, a 5 to 10 percent decline in our estimated long-term pricing would result in an impairment of our long-lived assets. This sensitivity does not take into consideration variations from our current long-term estimates of production costs, volume, inflation and other key assumptions used to estimate the future cash flows of the operation, all of which significantly impact the estimate used to assess the recoverability of these long-lived assets.

With respect to our thermal coal mining operations, our most recent evaluation of the recoverability of the long-lived assets of the asset group completed as of December 31, 2013 indicated that our projected future undiscounted cash flows exceeded the respective carrying value by a significant amount. The undiscounted cash flows of this operation are influenced by our forecasted long-term pricing for thermal coal, expected production costs and capital investments required over the life of the mine. Significant changes in any of these assumptions or failure to obtain the permits necessary to extract the coal in the most economical manner can have a negative impact on our undiscounted cash flows and may result in a material impairment charge.

# Asia Pacific Iron Ore

Based on current reserve estimates, we expect to exhaust our Koolyanobbing mine reserves by 2020. Currently we carry approximately \$80 million of goodwill, which is subject to recoverability testing as described above. Our current estimates indicate that the fair value of the reporting unit, based on a discounted cash flow model using assumptions consistent with our long-range plan is recoverable. Due to the current environment, we have and may continue to experience pricing pressure from our customers in the form of increased discounts on quality and lower lump premiums. As a recent market development, we are uncertain whether this will persist for the remainder of the life of mine; however, if it does, this downward price pressure may result in an impairment of our goodwill.

## Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We are subject to changes in foreign currency exchange rates primarily as a result of our operations in Australia and Canada, which could impact our financial condition. With respect to Australia, foreign exchange risk arises from our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates because our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar, but the functional currency of our Asia Pacific operations is the Australian dollar. Our Asia Pacific operations receive funds in U.S. currency for their iron ore sales and incur costs in Australian currency. For our Canadian operations, the functional currency is the U.S. dollar; however, the production costs for these operations primarily are incurred in the Canadian dollar. The primary objective for the use of foreign exchange rate contracts is to reduce exposure to changes in Australian and U.S. currency exchange rates and Canadian and U.S. currency exchange rates, respectively, and to protect against undue adverse movement in these exchange rates.

At June 30, 2014, we had outstanding Australian and Canadian foreign exchange rate contracts with notional amounts of \$300.0 million and \$259.1 million, respectively, with varying maturity dates ranging from July 2014 to June 2015 for which we elected hedge accounting. To evaluate the effectiveness of our hedges, we conduct sensitivity analysis. A 10 percent increase in the value of the Australian dollar from the month-end rate would increase the fair value of these contracts to approximately \$40.7 million, and a 10 percent decrease would reduce the fair value to approximately negative \$21.2 million. A 10 percent increase in the value of these contracts to approximately \$31.0 million, and a 10 percent decrease the fair value of these contracts to approximately \$31.0 million, and a 10 percent decrease the fair value of these contracts to approximately \$31.0 million, and a 10 percent decrease the fair value of these contracts to approximately \$31.0 million, and a 10 percent decrease the fair value of these contracts to approximately \$31.0 million, and a 10 percent decrease the fair value of these contracts to approximately \$31.0 million, and a 10 percent decrease the fair value of these contracts to approximately \$31.0 million, and a 10 percent decrease the fair value of these contracts to approximately \$31.0 million, and a 10 percent decrease would decrease the fair value to approximately negative \$21.8 million. We may enter into additional hedging instruments in the near future as needed in order to further hedge our exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

The following table represents our foreign currency exchange contract position for contracts held as cash flow hedges as of June 30, 2014:

	(\$ in Millions)					
Contract Maturity		otional mount	Weighted Average Exchange Rate	Spot Rate Fair Value		r Value
Contract Portfolio 1:						
AUD Contracts expiring in the next 12 months	\$	300.0	0.90	0.9433	\$	9.7
CAD Contracts expiring in the next 12 months		259.1	1.08	1.0671		1.9
Total Hedge Contract Portfolio	\$	559.1			\$	11.6

<sup>1</sup>Includes collar options and forward contracts.

Refer to NOTE 3 - DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES for further information.

### Interest Rate Risk

Interest payable on our senior notes is at fixed rates. Interest payable under our revolving credit facility is at a variable rate based upon the base rate or the LIBOR rate plus a margin depending on a leverage ratio. As of June 30, 2014, we had \$275.0 million drawn on the revolving credit facility. A 100 basis point change to the base rate or the LIBOR rate under the revolving credit facility would result in a change of approximately \$2.8 million to interest expense on an annual basis.

The interest rate payable on the \$500.0 million senior notes due in 2018 may be subject to adjustments from time to time if either Moody 's or S&P or, in either case, any Substitute Rating Agency thereof downgrades (or subsequently upgrades) the debt rating assigned to the notes. In no event shall (1) the interest rate for the notes be reduced to below the interest rate payable on the notes on the date of the initial issuance of notes or (2) the total increase in the interest rate on the notes exceed 2.00 percent above the interest rate payable on the notes on the date of the initial issuance of notes. The maximum rate increase of 2.00 percent for the interest rate payable on the notes would result in an additional interest expense of \$10.0 million per annum.

### Supply Concentration Risks

Many of our mines are dependent on one source each of electric power and natural gas. A significant interruption or change in service or rates from our energy suppliers could impact materially our production costs, margins and profitability.

#### Outlook

For the remainder of 2014, the demand for our steelmaking raw materials in North America is expected to be supported by continued improvements in the labor market, construction activity, and motor vehicle production. Demand for steelmaking raw materials in China is anticipated to remain high, as Chinese officials remain committed to achieving their targeted real GDP growth rate of approximately 7.5%. However, increased seaborne supply could continue to put downward pressure on pricing for steelmaking raw materials.

Due to the commodity pricing volatility for the products that we sell and for the purpose of providing a full-year outlook, we will utilize the year-to-date average 62% Fe seaborne iron ore spot price as of June 30, 2014, which was \$112 per ton (C.F.R. China), as a base price assumption for providing our fullyear 2014 revenues-per-ton sensitivities for our iron ore business segments. With \$112 per ton as a base price assumption for full-year 2014, included in the table below is the expected revenues-per-ton range for our iron ore business segments and the per-ton sensitivity for each \$10 per ton variance from the base price assumption.

	2014 Full-Year Realized Revenue Sensitivity Summary (1)				
	Eastern U.S. Canadian Asia Pao Iron Ore (2) Iron Ore (3) Iron Ore				
Revenues Per Ton	\$100 - \$105	\$85 - \$90	\$85 - \$90		
Sensitivity Per Ton (+/- \$10)	+/- \$1	+/- \$4	+/- \$5		

- (1) Based on the average year-to-date 62% Fe seaborne iron ore fines price (C.F.R. China) of \$112 per ton as of June 30, 2014.
- (2) U.S. Iron Ore tons are reported in long tons.
- (3) Eastern Canadian Iron Ore tons are reported in metric tons, F.O.B. Eastern Canada.
- (4) Asia Pacific Iron Ore tons are reported in metric tons, F.O.B. the port.

The revenues-per-ton sensitivities consider various contract provisions and lag-year adjustments contained in certain supply agreements. Actual realized revenues per ton for the full year will depend on iron ore price changes, customer mix, freight rates, production input costs and/or steel prices (all factors contained in certain of our supply agreements).

### U.S. Iron Ore Outlook (Long Tons)

For 2014, we are revising our full-year sales and production volume expectation to 22 million tons, which is the lower end of our previous expected range of 22 - 23 million tons. This was primarily driven by weather-related impacts.

The U.S. Iron Ore revenues-per-ton sensitivity included within the 2014 revenues-per-ton summary table above also includes the following assumptions:

- 2014 average hot-rolled steel pricing of \$650 per ton; and
- 25% 30% of the expected 2014 sales volume is linked to seaborne iron ore pricing

We are maintaining our 2014 full-year U.S. Iron Ore cash-cost-per-ton expectation of \$65 - \$70. We are reducing our depreciation, depletion and amortization expectation to approximately \$5 per ton from our previous expectation of \$7 per ton.

# Eastern Canadian Iron Ore Outlook (Metric Tons, F.O.B. Eastern Canada)

For 2014, we are revising our full-year sales and production volume expectation to 7 million tons, which is the higher end of our previous expected range of 6 - 7 million tons. This includes approximately 750,000 tons from Wabush Mine and the remainder from Bloom Lake Mine, driven by consistent product availability.

The Eastern Canadian Iron Ore revenues-per-ton sensitivity is included within the 2014 revenues-per-ton sensitivity table above. We are reducing our full-year 2014 cash-cost-per-ton expectation in Eastern Canadian Iron Ore to \$80 - \$85 from our previous expectation of \$85 - \$90, primarily driven by an updated mine plan that includes lower strip ratios and reduced contract labor. Also, the full-year cash-cost-per-ton expectation only includes Bloom Lake Mine. Depreciation, depletion and amortization is expected to be approximately \$23 per ton for full-year 2014.



#### Asia Pacific Iron Ore Outlook (Metric Tons, F.O.B. the port)

We are revising our full-year 2014 Asia Pacific Iron Ore expected sales and production volumes to approximately 11 million tons, which is the higher end of our previous expected range of 10 - 11 million tons. The product mix is expected to be approximately half lump and half fines iron ore.

The Asia Pacific Iron Ore revenues-per-ton sensitivity is included within the 2014 revenues-per-ton sensitivity table above. We are lowering our 2014 full-year Asia Pacific Iron Ore cash-cost-per-ton expectation to \$55 - \$60 from our previous expectation of \$60 - \$65, primarily driven by reduced royalties, improved maintenance practices and lower logistics costs. Depreciation, depletion and amortization is anticipated to be approximately \$14 per ton for the full-year 2014.

#### North American Coal Outlook (Short Tons, F.O.B. the mine)

We are revising our full-year 2014 North American Coal expected sales and production volumes to 7 million tons, which is the lower end of our previous expected range of 7 - 8 million tons. The decrease is primarily driven by the aforementioned WARN notice regarding a potential idle of Pinnacle Mine. Sales volume mix is anticipated to be approximately 67% low-volatile metallurgical coal and 20% high-volatile metallurgical coal, with thermal coal making up the remainder.

We are lowering our full-year 2014 North American Coal revenues-per-ton outlook to \$75 - \$80 from our previous outlook of \$80 - \$85. The decrease is primarily driven by lower-than-expected market pricing for metallurgical coal products. We have approximately 76% of our expected 2014 sales volume committed and priced at approximately \$80 per short ton at the mine.

We are maintaining our North American Coal full-year cash cost expectation of \$85 - \$90 per ton. Full-year 2014 depreciation, depletion and amortization is expected to be approximately \$16 per ton.

The following table provides a summary of our 2014 guidance for our four business segments:

	2014 Outlook Summary					
	U.S. Iron Ore (1)	Eastern Canadian Iron Ore (2)	Asia Pacific Iron Ore (3)	North American Coal (4)		
Sales volume (million tons)	22	7	11	7		
Production volume (million tons)	22	7	11	7		
Cash cost per ton (5)	\$65 - \$70	\$80 - \$85	\$55 - \$60	\$85 - \$90		
DD&A per ton	\$5	\$23	\$14	\$16		

(1) U.S. Iron Ore tons are reported in long tons.

(2) Eastern Canadian Iron Ore tons are reported in metric tons, F.O.B. Eastern Canada.

(3) Asia Pacific Iron Ore tons are reported in metric tons, F.O.B. the port.

(4) North American Coal tons are reported in short tons, F.O.B. the mine.

(5) Cash cost per ton is defined as cost of goods sold and operating expenses per ton less depreciation, depletion and amortization per ton, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, that management uses in evaluating operating performance. The presentation of this measure is not intended to be considered in isolation from, as a substitute for, or as superior to, the financial information prepared and presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The presentation of these measures may be different from non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies.

### SG&A Expenses and Other Expectations

We are maintaining our full-year 2014 SG&A expense expectation of approximately \$185 million, which excludes severance and proxy-contest-related costs. We are also maintaining our full-year cash outflows expectation for exploration of \$15 million.

Also, as previously disclosed, we are expecting to incur approximately \$100 million in costs related to the Wabush Mine idle for the year. Consolidated full-year 2014 depreciation, depletion and amortization is expected to be approximately \$560 million.



### **Capital Budget**

We are maintaining our 2014 capital expenditures budget of \$275 - \$325 million. This includes approximately \$100 million in cash carryover capital, with the remainder primarily comprised of sustaining and license-to-operate capital.

#### **Forward-Looking Statements**

This report contains statements that constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. As a general matter, forward-looking statements relate to anticipated trends and expectations rather than historical matters. Forward-looking statements are subject to uncertainties and factors relating to Cliffs' operations and business environment that are difficult to predict and may be beyond our control. Such uncertainties and factors may cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. These statements speak only as of the date of this report, and we undertake no ongoing obligation, other than that imposed by law, to update these statements. Uncertainties and risk factors that could affect Cliffs' future performance and cause results to differ from the forward-looking statements in this report include, but are not limited to:

- trends affecting our financial condition, results of operations or future prospects, particularly the continued volatility of iron ore and coal prices;
- our actual levels of capital spending;
- uncertainty or weaknesses in global economic conditions, including downward pressure on prices, reduced market demand, increases in supply and any slowing of the economic growth rate in China;
- · a currently pending proxy contest and any other actions of activist shareholders;
- our ability to successfully identify and consummate any strategic investments or capital projects and complete planned divestitures;
- our ability to successfully integrate acquired companies into our operations and achieve post-acquisition synergies, including without limitation, Cliffs Quebec Iron Mining Limited (formerly Consolidated Thompson Iron Mining Limited);
- · our ability to cost-effectively achieve planned production rates or levels;
- · changes in sales volume or mix;
- the outcome of any contractual disputes with our customers, joint venture partners or significant energy, material or service providers or any other litigation or arbitration;
- the impact of price-adjustment factors on our sales contracts;
- the ability of our customers and joint venture partners to meet their obligations to us on a timely basis or at all;
- our ability to reach agreement with our iron ore customers regarding any modifications to sales contract provisions;
- our actual economic iron ore and coal reserves or reductions in current mineral estimates, including whether any mineralized material qualifies as a reserve;
- the impact of our customers using other methods to produce steel or reducing their steel production;
- events or circumstances that could impair or adversely impact the viability of a mine and the carrying value of associated assets, as well as any
  resulting impairment charges;
- the results of prefeasibility and feasibility studies in relation to development projects;
- impacts of existing and increasing governmental regulation and related costs and liabilities, including failure to receive or maintain required
  operating and environmental permits, approvals, modifications or other authorization of, or from, any governmental or regulatory entity and
  costs related to implementing improvements to ensure compliance with regulatory changes;



- uncertainties associated with natural disasters, weather conditions, unanticipated geological conditions, supply or price of energy, equipment failures and other unexpected events;
- adverse changes in currency values, currency exchange rates, interest rates and tax laws;
- · availability of capital and our ability to maintain adequate liquidity and successfully implement our financing plans;
- our ability to maintain appropriate relations with unions and employees and enter into or renew collective bargaining agreements on satisfactory terms;
- risks related to international operations;
- the potential existence of significant deficiencies or material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting;
- problems or uncertainties with leasehold interests, productivity, tons mined, transportation, mine-closure obligations, environmental liabilities, employee-benefit costs and other risks of the mining industry; and
- the risk factors identified in Part I Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

For additional factors affecting the business of Cliffs, refer to Part II - Item 1A - Risk Factors. You are urged to carefully consider these risk factors.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Information regarding our Market Risk is presented under the caption *Market Risks*, which is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and in the Management's Discussion and Analysis section of this report.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based solely on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. See "Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting" and "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

### PART II

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

*EPSL* Arbitration. On December 20, 2012, Esperance Port Authority (trading as Esperance Port Sea and Land) and Cliffs Asia Pacific Iron Ore Pty Ltd nominated an arbitrator to determine disputes that have arisen between the parties in relation to the proper construction and operation of certain clauses in the operating agreement that was first made between the parties on September 25, 2000 (as varied). The parties have negotiated a full and final settlement of matters and on May 30, 2014 entered into a deed of final settlement and termination providing for: (a) the existing operating agreement to terminate on the coming into existence of a new operating agreement and new lease agreements for storage sheds and office accommodation (which new agreements were also signed on May 30, 2014); and (b) the arbitration proceedings to be discontinued with no order as to costs. The new operating agreement entitles us to require EPSL to handle up to 11.5 million tonnes per annum of ore. In accordance with the terms of the deed of final settlement and termination, we have paid Esperance Port A\$9.5 million in full and final settlement of all claims by either party under the existing operating agreement up to December 31, 2013.

Pointe Noire Investigation. Wabush Mines currently is being investigated by Environment Canada in relation to alleged violations of (i) Section 36(3) of the Fisheries Act, which prohibits the deposit of a deleterious substance in water frequented by fish or in any place where the deleterious substance may enter any such water, and (ii) Section 5.1 of the Migratory Bird Convention Act, 1994. The Quebec Ministry of Sustainable Development, Environment, Wildlife and Parks also has commenced an investigation into alleged violations of Section 8 of the Hazardous Material Regulation, which prohibits the discharge of a hazardous material to the environment. Based on current information, the investigations cover events surrounding and leading up to the alleged release of approximately 1,320 gallons of fuel oil into the Bay of Sept-Iles on September 1, 2013. We cooperated with the investigators and agency response officials. In April 2014, the Quebec Ministry of Justice filed a penalty charge related to the incident to which we plead not guilty. We are working with the Provincial Prosecutor to attempt to resolve the charge. We believe we will reach a settlement in this matter, but we cannot be certain that a settlement will be reached and cannot reliably estimate how long it will take to reach a settlement or what all of its terms might be. We believe that the ultimate disposition of the charge will not have a material adverse effect on our business.

Putative Class Action Lawsuits. In May 2014, alleged purchasers of our common shares filed two lawsuits in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio against us and certain current and former officers and directors of the Company. The actions are captioned <u>Department of the Treasury of the State of New Jersey and Its Division of Investment v. Cliffs Natural Resources Inc., et al.</u>, No. 1:14-CV-1031 and <u>Weinstock v. Cliffs Natural Resources Inc., et al.</u>, No. 1:14-CV-1106. Both actions assert violations of the federal securities laws based on alleged false or misleading statements or omissions during the period of March 14, 2012 to March 26, 2013, regarding operations at our Bloom Lake mine in Quebec, Canada, and the impact of those operations on our finances and outlook, including sustainability of the dividend, and that the alleged misstatements caused our common shares to trade at artificially inflated prices. Both lawsuits seek class certification and an award of monetary damages to the putative class in an unspecified amount, along with costs of suit and attorneys' fees. These lawsuits have been referred to our insurance carriers. On July 15, 2014, the plaintiff voluntarily dismissed without prejudice the <u>Weinstock</u> lawsuit.

In June 2014, an alleged purchaser of the depositary shares issued by Cliffs in a public offering in February 2013 filed a putative class action of all such purchasers in the Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Court of Common Pleas. The action is captioned <u>Rosenberg v. Cliffs Natural Resources Inc., et al.</u>, No. CV-14-828140, and asserts claims against us, certain current and former officers and directors of the Company, and eight underwriters of the offering, alleging disclosure violations in the registration statement regarding operations at our Bloom Lake mine and the impact of those operations on our finances and outlook. This action seeks class certification and monetary relief in an unspecified amount, along with costs of suit and attorneys' fees. This lawsuit has been referred to our insurance carriers.

Severstal Pricing Arbitration. Severstal filed a demand for arbitration against Cliffs Sales Company, The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company and Cliffs Mining Company in May 2013 over the pricing calculation for pellets beginning in 2013. Severstal filed the arbitration claim pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of the Amended and Restated Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated January 1, 2006, and as amended to date, referred to as the sales agreement. The parties amended the sales agreement in 2008 to revise the calculation of the base price for pellets, beginning in 2013, to include a pricing calculation utilizing current market price indices. Severstal had been paying "under protest" the invoices for the pellets pursuant to our interpretation of the calculation pending the arbitral panel's decision on this pricing dispute. That arbitration hearing proceeded in April 2014 and the panel found in part for Cliffs and in part for Severstal. The result altered the calculation of the base price for pellets beginning in 2013 by reducing the base price. Cliffs refunded Severstal in the second quarter the difference between what Severstal paid under protest and the arbitral panel's judgment price.
Shareholder Derivative Lawsuits. In June and July 2014, alleged shareholders of Cliffs filed three derivative actions in the Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Court of Common Pleas asserting claims against certain current and former officers and directors of the Company. These actions, captioned <u>Black v. Carrabba</u>, et al., No. CV-14-827803, <u>Asmussen v. Carrabba, et al.</u>, No. CV-14-829259, and <u>Williams, et al. v. Carrabba, et al.</u>, No. CV-14-829499, allege that the individually named defendants violated their fiduciary duties to the Company by, among other things, disseminating false and misleading information regarding operations at our Bloom Lake mine in Quebec, Canada, and the impact of those operations on our finances and outlook, including sustainability of the dividend, failing to maintain internal controls, and failing to appropriately oversee and manage the Company. The complaints assert additional claims for unjust enrichment, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, and waste of corporate assets. The complaints seek damages, restitution, and equitable relief against the individually named defendants and in favor of the Company, along with costs of suit and attorneys' fees. These lawsuits have been referred to our insurance carriers. As these are derivative actions, we have been named only as a nominal defendant.

Taconite MACT Compliance Review. EPA Region 5 issued Notices of Violation during the first quarter of 2014 to Empire, Tilden and United Taconite related to alleged historical violations of the Taconite MACT rule and certain elements of the respective state-issued Title V operating permits. Initial meetings were held with the EPA in the second quarter of 2014 with a final outcome anticipated later in the year, but the overall impact is not anticipated currently to have a material impact on our business.

Worldlink Arbitration. Our wholly owned subsidiary, CQIM, along with the Bloom Lake General Partner Limited and Bloom Lake, instituted an arbitral claim against Bloom Lake's former customer, Worldlink, in October 2011 for material and/or fundamental breaches of the parties' 2007 offtake agreement for the purchase and sale of iron concentrate produced at the Bloom Lake mine. We filed the arbitration claim with the International Court of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of the offtake agreement. Bloom Lake terminated the offtake agreement with Worldlink's failure to fulfill its obligations under the agreement and Worldlink's demand to renegotiate the price of the iron ore concentrate in spite of being party to a long-term offtake agreement. Our damages for the breach of the offtake agreement are in excess of \$85 million and Worldlink has counterclaimed for damages in excess of \$100 million. We strongly disagree with Worldlink's defenses and counterclaims and intend to vigorously pursue our claim. The arbitrators heard testimony at a hearing in May 2014 but have not yet issued a decision. A decision is expected from the arbitrators later in 2014.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 include a detailed discussion of our risk factors.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table presents information with respect to repurchases by the Company of our common shares during the periods indicated.

## **ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Period	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)		Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs	
April 1 - 30, 2014		\$	_	_	_	
May 1 - 31, 2014	607	\$	17.16	—	—	
June 1 - 30, 2014	553	\$	15.71	—	_	
	1,160	\$	16.47	_	_	

<sup>(1)</sup> These shares were delivered to us by employees to satisfy tax withholding obligations due upon the vesting or payment of stock awards or scheduled distributions from our VNQDC Plan.



#### Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

We are committed to protecting the occupational health and well-being of each of our employees. Safety is one of our Company's core values, and we strive to ensure that safe production is the first priority for all employees. Our internal objective is to achieve zero injuries and incidents across the Company by focusing on proactively identifying needed prevention activities, establishing standards and evaluating performance to mitigate any potential loss to people, equipment, production and the environment. We have implemented intensive employee training that is geared toward maintaining a high level of awareness and knowledge of safety and health issues in the work environment through the development and coordination of requisite information, skills and attitudes. We believe that through these policies, our Company has developed an effective safety management system.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, each operator of a coal or other mine is required to include certain mine safety results within its periodic reports filed with the SEC. As required by the reporting requirements included in §1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K, the required mine safety results regarding certain mining safety and health matters for each of our mine locations that are covered under the scope of the Dodd-Frank Act are included in Exhibit 95 of *Item 6. Exhibits* of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) List of Exhibits — Refer to Exhibit Index on pg. 74.

# SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

# CLIFFS NATURAL RESOURCES INC.

By: /s/ Timothy K. Flanaga	n
----------------------------	---

 Name:
 Timothy K. Flanagan

 Title:
 Vice President, Corporate

 Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

Date: July 24, 2014

# EXHIBIT INDEX

All documents referenced below have been filed pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by Cliffs Natural Resources Inc., file number 1-09844, unless otherwise indicated.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
10.1	*Redundancy Letter Agreement, by and between Cliffs Asia Pacific Iron Ore Management PTY LTD and Colin Williams, dated March 21, 2014 (filed herewith)
10.2	Amendment No. 3, dated as of June 30, 2014, to the Amended and Restated Multicurrency Credit Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2011, among Cliffs Natural Resources Inc., the foreign subsidiaries of Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. from time to time party thereto, the lenders from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Cliffs Natural Resources Inc.'s Form 8-K on June 30, 2014 and incorporated herein by reference)
31.1	Certification Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by Gary B. Halverson as of July 24, 2014 (filed herewith)
31.2	Certification Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by Terrance M. Paradie as of July 24, 2014 (filed herewith)
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by Gary B. Halverson, President and Chief Executive Officer of Cliffs Natural Resources Inc., as of July 24, 2014 (filed herewith)
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by Terrance M. Paradie, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Cliffs Natural Resources Inc., as of July 24, 2014 (filed herewith)
95	Mine Safety Disclosures (filed herewith)
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\* Indicates management contract or other compensatory arrangement.

74



CLIFFS ASIA PACIFIC IRON ORE MANAGEMENT PTY LTD ABN 82 001 720 903 Level 12, 1 William Street, Perth. Western Australia 6000 T: (08) 9426 3333 F: (08) 9426 3344 cliffsnaturalresources.com Postal Address: GPO Box W2017, Perth, WA 6846

21 March 2014

Mr Colin Williams WA

Dear Colin

# Termination of your employment with Cliffs Asia Pacific Iron Ore Management Pty Ltd

Further to recent discussions, the purpose of this letter is to confirm that following a review of Operational and Cliffs requirements going forward, your position as Senior Vice President, Asia Pacific Iron Ore with the Company is no longer required and has been made redundant. Consequently your employment will be terminated as at 1 July 2014.

We have considered whether there are any suitable alternative positions within the organisation and whether there are any measures that Cliffs can take to minimise the effect of the redundancy on you. Unfortunately, no other suitable positions have been identified within Cliffs.

To minimise the effect of this redundancy, Cliffs will provide a redundancy payment in addition to your final pay for work performed by you to 1<sup>st</sup> of July 2014, as detailed below.

1.	Separation Benefit of 52 weeks base salary	\$ 485,000.00
2.	Pro-rated at target 2014 Executive Management Performance Incentive Plan (EMPI) Payment	\$ 169,750.00
3.	Accrued Annual Leave of 437.4467 hours Note: Accrual will be adjusted on your termination date for any annual leave taken	\$ 102,000.79
4.	Accrued Long Service Leave of 238.6964 hours	\$ 55,657.57
Gro	oss Redundancy Payment Estimate	\$ 812,408.36
15 <sup>o</sup>	% Superannuation cash out on EMPI Payment	\$ 25,462.50
5.7	5% Superannuation cash out on Separation Benefit	\$ 27,887.50
Nor	mal hours worked in July 2014 (8 hours)	\$ 1,865.38
5.7	5% Superannuation cash out on normal hours worked	\$ 107.26
Gro	oss Total Payment Estimate	\$ 867,731.00

Superannuation contributions will be paid to your superannuation fund at 9.25% on your Separation Benefit and your normal hours worked in July 2014.



# Long Term Incentive Plan

Share grants made under the Company's Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) will be treated as follows:

## 2012-2014 Long Term Incentive Period

Grant Date	Grant Price	Total Shares Granted	Performance Shares Granted	Restricted Share Units Granted	TOTAL SHARES PRORATED	PERF SHARES PRORATED as of 1/7/2014	RSU's PRORATED as of 1/7/2014
12/03/2012	\$63.62	5,270	3,960	1,310	4,392	3,300	1,092

\* Prorate for involuntary termination is 0.8333

\* If performance metrics are met, the performance shares will pay out in February 2015

\*Long-Term RSU's will payout at the same time as the Performance Shares

## 2013-2015 Long Term Incentive Period

Grant Date	Grant Price	Total Shares Granted	Performance Shares Granted	Restricted Share Units Granted	TOTAL SHARES PRORATED	PERF SHARES PRORATED as of 1/7/2014	RSU's PRORATED as of 1/7/2014
11/03/2013	\$23.93	21,940	16,460	5,480	10,970	8,230	2,740

\* Prorate for involuntary termination is 0.5000

\* If performance metrics are met, the performance shares will pay out in February 2016

\*Long-Term RSU's will payout at the same time as the Performance Shares

# 2014-2016 Long Term Incentive Period

Grant Date	Grant Price	Total Shares Granted	Performance Shares Granted	Restricted Share Units Granted	TOTAL SHARES PRORATED	PERF SHARES PRORATED as of 1/7/2014	RSU's PRORATED as of 1/7/2014
10/02/2014	\$20.58	22,950	17,220	5,730	3,826	2,871	955

\* Prorate for involuntary termination is 0.1667

\* If performance metrics are met, the performance shares will pay out in February 2017

\*Long-Term RSU's will payout at the same time as the Performance Shares

Provided you submit the required Expense Reimbursement Form to APAC Payroll prior to your termination date, you will receive a Private Health Insurance Reimbursement of \$1,740.00.

Cliffs will provide you with outplacement counselling services from Right Management to the value of \$7,500.00. Such services must be utilised within 3 months of your termination date. Right Management can be contacted on (08) 9321 4733.

In addition, Cliffs will provide financial counseling advice from a provider of your choice to a maximum amount of \$1,000.00. Please have your provider submit a relevant invoice to Cliffs for reimbursement within 3 months.



You are also entitled to Employee Assistance Program (EAP) support from Davidson Trahaire Corpsych, who can be contacted on 1800 674 188.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for your service to Cliffs and wish you all the best for the future.

Yours sincerely

/s/ Clifford T. Smith Clifford T Smith Executive Vice President, Seaborne Iron Ore

cc personnel file

I, Colin Williams, hereby sign in acceptance of the terms contained within this letter.

/s/ Colin Williams

Signed

2<sup>nd</sup> April 2014

Date

## I, Gary B. Halverson, certify that:

#### CERTIFICATION

- 1. I have reviewed this guarterly report on Form 10-Q of Cliffs Natural Resources Inc.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the 2. statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report:
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in 4. Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our (a) supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our (b) supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the (c) effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most (d) recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

#### The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 24, 2014

By: /s/ Gary B. Halverson

> Gary B. Halverson President and Chief Executive Officer

5.

## I, Terrance M. Paradie, certify that:

#### CERTIFICATION

- 1. I have reviewed this guarterly report on Form 10-Q of Cliffs Natural Resources Inc.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the 2. statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report:
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in 4. Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, (a) to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our (b) supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the (c) effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent (d) fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 24, 2014

By:

/s/ Terrance M. Paradie Terrance M. Paradie

Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

5.

### CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Form 10-Q"), I, Gary B. Halverson, President and Chief Executive Officer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to such officer's knowledge:

- (1) The Form 10-Q fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and
- (2) The information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Form 10-Q.

Date: July 24, 2014

By: /s/ Gary B. Halverson

Gary B. Halverson President and Chief Executive Officer

#### CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Cliffs Natural Resources Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Form 10-Q"), I, Terrance M. Paradie, Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to such officer's knowledge:

- (1) The Form 10-Q fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and
- (2) The information contained in the Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Form 10-Q.

Date: July 24, 2014

By: /s/ Terrance M. Paradie

Terrance M. Paradie Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer

### **Mine Safety Disclosures**

The operation of our mines located in the United States is subject to regulation by MSHA under the FMSH Act. MSHA inspects these mines on a regular basis and issues various citations and orders when it believes a violation has occurred under the FMSH Act. We present information below regarding certain mining safety and health citations that MSHA has issued with respect to our mining operations. In evaluating this information, consideration should be given to factors such as: (i) the number of citations and orders will vary depending on the size of the mine; (ii) the number of citations issued will vary from inspector to inspector and mine to mine, and (iii) citations and orders can be contested and appealed and, in that process, are often reduced in severity and amount, and are sometimes dismissed.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, each operator of a coal or other mine is required to include certain mine safety results within its periodic reports filed with the SEC. As required by the reporting requirements included in §1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Act, we present the following items regarding certain mining safety and health matters, for the period presented, for each of our mine locations that are covered under the scope of the Dodd-Frank Act:

- (A) The total number of violations of mandatory health or safety standards that could significantly and substantially contribute to the cause and effect of a coal or other mine safety or health hazard under section 104 of the FMSH Act (30 U.S.C. 814) for which the operator received a citation from MSHA;
- (B) The total number of orders issued under section 104(b) of the FMSH Act (30 U.S.C. 814(b));
- (C) The total number of citations and orders for unwarrantable failure of the mine operator to comply with mandatory health or safety standards under section 104(d) of the FMSH Act (30 U.S.C. 814(d));
- (D) The total number of imminent danger orders issued under section 107(a) of the FMSH Act (30 U.S.C. 817(a));
- (E) The total dollar value of proposed assessments from MSHA under the FMSH Act (30 U.S.C. 801 et seq.);
- (F) Legal actions pending before the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission involving such coal or other mine as of the last day of the period;
- (G) Legal actions initiated before the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission involving such coal or other mine during the period; and
- (H) Legal actions resolved before the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission involving such coal or other mine during the period.

During the three months ended June 30, 2014, our U.S. mine locations did not receive any flagrant violations under section 110(b)(2) of the FMSH Act or any written notices of a pattern of violations, or the potential to have such a pattern of violations, under section 104(e) of the FMSH Act. In addition, there were no mining-related fatalities at any of our U.S. mine locations during this same period.

Following is a summary of the information listed above for the three months ended June 30, 2014:

		Three Months Ended June 30, 2014								
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)		(G)	(H)
Mine Name/ MSHA ID No.	Operation	Section 104 S&S Citations	Section 104(b) Orders	Section 104(d) Citations & Orders	Section 107(a) Orders	Total Dollar Value of MSHA Proposed Assessments (1)	Legal Actions Pending as of Last Day of Period		Legal Actions Initiated During Period	Legal Actions Resolved During Period
Pinnacle Mine / 4601816	Coal	49	-	_	_	\$ 46,605	38	(2)	7	3
Pinnacle Plant / 4605868	Coal	—	—	—	_	_	1	(3)	1	2
Green Ridge #1 / 4609030	Coal	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	—
Green Ridge #2 / 4609222	Coal	—	_	—	_	_	1	(4)	—	_
Oak Grove / 0100851	Coal	21	_	_	_	22,470	35	(5)	8	9
Concord Plant / 0100329	Coal	1	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
Dingess-Chilton / 4609280	Coal	_	_	_	_	_	6	(6)	_	2
Powellton / 4609217	Coal	42	_	_	_	56,477	25	(7)	2	3
Saunders Prep / 4602140	Coal	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
Toney Fork / 4609101	Coal	8	_	_	_	_	5	(8)	_	1
Elk Lick Tipple / 4604315	Coal	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
Lower War Eagle / 4609319	Coal	16	_	_	_	20,659	17	(9)	2	2
Elk Lick Chilton / 4609390	Coal	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
Tilden / 2000422	Iron Ore	5	_	_	_	20,174	9	(10)	4	-
Empire / 2001012	Iron Ore	2	_	_	_	176	6	(11)	1	_
Northshore Plant / 2100831	Iron Ore	2	_	_	_	50,081	8	(12)	3	3
Northshore Mine / 2100209	Iron Ore	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
Hibbing / 2101600	Iron Ore	15	_	_	_	103,443	25	(13)	14	7
United Taconite Plant / 2103404	Iron Ore	24	_	1	-	64,445	55	(14)	50	3
United Taconite Mine / 2103403	Iron Ore	7	_	_	_	17,413	1	(15)	1	1

(1) Amounts included under the heading "Total Dollar Value of MSHA Proposed Assessments" are the total dollar amounts for proposed assessments received from MSHA on or before June 30, 2014.

(2) This number consists of 13 pending legal actions related to contests of citations and orders referenced in Subpart B of FMSH Act's procedural rules and 25 pending legal actions related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules.

(3) This number consists of 1 pending legal action related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules.

rules.

(4) This number consists of 1 pending legal action related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules.

(5) This number consists of 2 pending legal actions related to contests of citations and orders referenced in Subpart B of FMSH Act's procedural rules; 24 pending legal actions related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules; 1 pending legal action related to complaints for compensation referenced in Subpart D of FMSH Act's procedural rules; and 8 appeals of judges' decisions or orders to the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission referenced in Subpart H of FMSH Act's procedural rules.

(6) This number consists of 2 pending legal actions related to contests of citations and orders referenced in Subpart B of FMSH Act's procedural rules and 4 pending legal actions related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules.

(7) This number consists of 7 pending legal actions related to contests of citations and orders referenced in Subpart B of FMSH Act's procedural rules and 18 pending legal actions related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules.

(8) This number consists of 5 pending legal actions related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural

(9) This number consists of 4 pending legal actions related to contests of citations and orders referenced in Subpart B of FMSH Act's procedural rules and 13 pending legal actions related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules.

(10) This number consists of 7 pending legal actions related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules; 1 pending legal action related to complaints of discharge, discrimination or interference referenced in Subpart E of FMSH Act's procedural rules; and 1 appeal of judge's decisions or orders to the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission referenced in Subpart H of FMSH Act's procedural rules.

(11) This number consists of 5 pending legal actions related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules and 1 pending legal action related to complaints of discharge, discrimination or interference referenced in Subpart E of FMSH Act's procedural rules.

(12) This number consists of 8 pending legal actions related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules.

- (13) This number consists of 15 pending legal actions related to contests of citations and orders referenced in Subpart B of FMSH Act's procedural rules; 6 pending legal actions related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules; and 4 appeals of judges' decisions or orders to the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission referenced in Subpart H of FMSH Act's procedural rules.
- (14) This number consists of 46 pending legal actions related to contests of citations and orders referenced in Subpart B of FMSH Act's procedural rules and 9 pending legal actions related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules.
- (15) This number consists of 1 pending legal action related to contests of proposed penalties referenced in Subpart C of FMSH Act's procedural rules.